

# CEPF FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

## I. BASIC DATA

**Organization Legal Name:** The Rose Foundation for Communities and the Environment, fiscal sponsor of NaturalEquity

**Project Title (as stated in the grant agreement):** Clan-level Conservation Agreements, Siberut Island - Indonesia

**Implementation Partners for this Project:** UNESCO (Koen Myers, contact), "Co-Management Team" consisting of staff from UNESCO, the Indonesian National Parks ministry, and a local NGO.

**Project Dates (as stated in the grant agreement):** 2005-2006

**Date of Report (month/year):** June 2007

## II. OPENING REMARKS

*Provide any opening remarks that may assist in the review of this report.*

NaturalEquity and its partners mutually agree that the most responsible course of action at this time is to indefinitely "freeze" the project. We will re-visit the project status in 2008 and assess the possibility of re-grouping and re-initiating the project.

There are three reasons for this mutually-agreed decision:

1. Initial difficulty resolving intra-clan conflicts and building trust, both of which would put any conservation agreement at risk of dissolution over the long term.
2. Poor prospects for continued funding in 2007/2008, which would undermine the continuity and thus the trust developed with communities.
3. Uncertain and unsupportive political environment (at the Bupati or district level).

## III. ACHIEVEMENT OF PROJECT PURPOSE

**Project Purpose:** Demonstrate, at a pilot level, how clan-level agreements can work in a biologically important spatial area of Siberut Island.

### Planned vs. Actual Performance

Indicator	Actual at Completion
<b>Purpose-level:</b>	
Participating clans, with assistance, draft a proposal for a conservation agreement	Co-management team worked with clans to "socialize" the idea of a clan-level agreement. Intra-clan conflict and disbelief in our approach presented barriers.
An initial 5-year agreement is successfully negotiated and ready to be financed	Due to intra-clan conflicts, an initial agreement could not be successfully negotiated.
Clans and conservation sponsors adhere to the terms of the initial agreement (monitoring)	NA

The initial agreement is scaled up in terms of time (to twenty years in duration) and space (the spatial area pursuant to the agreement and number of clans involved is expanded)	NA
Clan-level agreements are accepted by government as lawful and legitimate.	NA

**Describe the success of the project in terms of achieving its intended impact objective and performance indicators.**

This is not applicable as the project is on hold until 2008.

**Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?**

Generally no.

#### IV. PROJECT OUTPUTS

**Project Outputs:** Enter the project outputs from the Logical Framework for the project

##### Planned vs. Actual Performance

Indicator	Actual at Completion
<b>Output 1:</b> Exact spatial areas where clan-level agreements will be implemented are determined.	This was defined using input from the UNESCO and Co-management teams.
<b>1.1.</b> NaturalEquity and its partners collaboratively agree on which spatial areas and associated clans to target for one or more initial conservation agreements	This was defined using input from the UNESCO and Co-management teams.
<b>Output 2.</b> Legality and political feasibility of clan-level agreements is established.	A basic legal and political feasibility assessment was conducted.
<b>2.1.</b> A formal legal study is completed to: a) verify lawful nature of clan-level agreements, and b.) Determine a process for developing a strong legal personality for agreements	A more formal study was not completed.
<b>Output 3.</b> Socioeconomic development plan that is compatible with biodiversity conservation and the expressed needs of clans is developed through a consultative process involving clans, NaturalEquity and its partners, and potential conservation sponsors.	An initial development plan was drafted by the co-management team but not introduced to the clans or funded.
<b>3.1.</b> Analysis completed that helps to determine: a) clan-level investment options that are economically, socially, and institutionally viable, and b) options for implementing investments that can satisfy needs of both clans and conservation sponsors (e.g., clans want cash,	NA

sponsors want to support economic development, education, healthcare, etc. - how to resolve these issues)	
<b>Output 4.</b> A functional field presence needed to implement agreements.	NA
<b>4.1.</b> 1. Necessary tasks at the field level explicitly identified 2. Qualified staff, independent or affiliated with one of NaturalEquity's partners, are identified and employed to complete tasks. 3. Necessary materials identified and procured	NA
<b>Output 5.</b> A formal proposal from a set of up to eight clans for an initial five-year conservation agreement, including associated costs and terms/conditions.	NA
<b>5.1.</b> Clans formally endorse a proposal for an agreement that they developed with help from NaturalEquity and its partners	NA

***Describe the success of the project in terms of delivering the intended outputs.***

Not applicable, as project is on-hold.

***Were any outputs unrealized? If so, how has this affected the overall impact of the project?***

Not applicable, as project is on-hold.

## V. SAFEGUARD POLICY ASSESSMENTS

***Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.***

Nothing is required in this area.

## VI. LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE PROJECT

***Describe any lessons learned during the various phases of the project. Consider lessons both for future projects, as well as for CEPF's future performance.***

***Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/failure)***

***Project Execution: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/failure)***

**VII. ADDITIONAL FUNDING**

*Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.*

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes

**\*Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:**

- A** *Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)*
- B** *Complementary funding (Other donors contribute to partner organizations that are working on a project linked with this CEPF funded project)*
- C** *Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF funded project.)*
- D** *Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)*

***Provide details of whether this project will continue in the future and if so, how any additional funding already secured or fundraising plans will help ensure its sustainability.***

The project will be re-assessed in 2008 and a decision about re-initializing it will be made at that time.

**VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**VIII. INFORMATION SHARING**

CEPF aims to increase sharing of experiences, lessons learned and results among our grant recipients and the wider conservation and donor communities. One way we do this is by making the text of final project completion reports available on our Web site, [www.cepf.net](http://www.cepf.net), and by marketing these reports in our newsletter and other communications. Please indicate whether you would agree to publicly sharing your final project report with others in this way.

Yes  \_\_\_\_\_

No  \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, please also complete the following:

**For more information about this project, please contact:**

Name: Chris LaFranchi

Mailing address: 114 Oak Knoll Dr., Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Tel: (415) 602-7302

Fax:

E-mail: [chris@naturalequity.com](mailto:chris@naturalequity.com)