ANNEX B										
Impact category	sub-category	Indicator		Means of measurement	Data Source	Evidence	what scale will data be collected	frequency of data collection	who is responsible	strengths and limitations
	species	change in Red List Index	The purpose of this indicator is to track conservation status of the most basic, discernable unit of biodiversity species - over large spatial scales	RLI calculation	IUCN Red List of threatened species	RLI - globally accepted standard; CBD indicator	global and hotspot	beginning and end of investment	RIT and grant director	This indicator is not limited to species influenced by CEPF investment, but gives a general picture of the progress of biodiversity conservation at hotspot and global scales
		change in threat levels of target species	The purpose of this indicator is to track major threats associated with threatened species identified as targets in ecosystem profiles with CEPF investment	threat rating scale	grantee reports	expert opinion	site	beginning, middle and end of investment	grantees and RITs	This indicator is specific to species that should benefit from CEPF investment but relies on the qualitative assessment of expert individuals
		change in habitat extent	The purpose of this indicator is to track the change in natural habitat cover in priority areas identified in the profile. This indicator responds to the main threat in biodiversity conservation - habitat loss.	remote sensing	contracted party - remotely sensed data	accepted methodology	site	beginning and end of investment	contracted party	This indicator is a good representation of the major threat to biodiversity loss but doesn't directly track the underpinning biodiversity
Biodiversity - what changes in biodiversity status have taken place?	sites	change in # of hectares of KBAs with strengthened protection and management	The purpose of this indicator is to track the amount of land in KBAs under improved management	count - addition	site area from profile + cross reference with METT I score	reports	collected at the site and aggregated to profile and CEPF wide collected at the	yearly	RIT and grant director	A simple metric to count the aggregate area under improved management across profiles and CEPF as a whole
		change in # of hectaresof new protected areas	The purpose of this indicator is to track the amount of ha of new protected areas CEPF contributes to	count - addition	site area - from	reports / registries (e.g., national or WDPA)	site and aggregated to profile and CEPF wide	yearly	RIT and grant director	A simple metric to count the aggregate area that CEPF has contributed to putting under formal protection
		change in threat levels of target sites	The purpose of this indicator is to track major threats associated with priority sites identified in ecosystem profiles with CEPF investment	threat rating scale	grantee reports	expert opinion	site	beginning, middle and end of investment	grantees and RITs	This indicator is specific to sites that should benefit from CEPF investment but relies on the qualitative assessment of expert individuals
	corridors	change in habitat extent	The purpose of this indicator is to track the change in natural habitat cover in priority corridors identified in the profile. This indicator responds to the main threat in biodiversity conservation - habitat loss.	remote sensing	contracted party - remotely sensed data	accepted methodology	site	beginning and end of investment	contracted party	This indicator is a good representation of the major threat to biodiveirsty loss but doesn't directly track the underpinning biodiversity
		change in the # of hectares in production landscapes managed for biodiversity conservation	The purpose of this indicator is to track the amount of land in production landscapes that are being managed for biodiversity conservation	count - addition	corridor area from profile	reports	corridor	yearly	grantees and RITs	A simple metric to count the aggregate area of production landscapes under conservation management across profiles and CEPF as a whole

	Direct beneficiaries	change in the # of direct beneficiaries	The purpose of this indicator is to track the number of individuals that CEPF investments benefit through direct employment, income generation, secure energy, improved land tenure, improved household conditions, and training)	grantee assessment	grantee reports	RIT random verification	project	yearly	grantee	Relies on grantee self reporting with random verification, however the categories of benefits and whom to include may be open to interpretation.
Human well being have people benefited from CEPF investment?		change in the # of communities directly benefitting	The purpose of this indicator is to track the number of communities that CEPF investments benefit through the items mentioned above.		grantee reports	RIT random verification	project	yearly	grantee	Relies on grantee self reporting with random verification, however the categories of benefits and whom to include may be open to interpretation.
	Indirect benefits		The purpose of this indicator is to track the amount of ecosystem services, specifically carbon, protected through CEPF investment	analysis from remotely sensed data	contracted party - remotely sensed data	accepted methodology	project	beginning and end of investment	contracted party	The analysis for this indicator will likely rely on coarse global datasets
		change in the amount of fresh water secured at CEPF invested sites and delivered to downstream users	The purpose of this indicator is to track the amount of ecosystem services, specifically fresh water protected through CEPF investment	analysis from remotely sensed data	contracted party - remotely sensed data	accepted methodology	project	beginning and end of investment	contracted party	The analysis for this indicator will likely rely on coarse global datasets
	regulatory environment	that include provisions for conservation management change in the # of sustainable finance	track the number of policy changes that CEPF investments of contributed to The purpose of this indicator is to track the number of long term financial instruments created by or	count - addition	written documents	written documents	profile	yearly	RIT	This indicator does not directly reflect impact but an enabling condition that could lead to conservation impact. This indicator does not directly reflect the
	long term financing	mechanisms with improved management change in the amount	receiving support from CEPF that are managed well The purpose of this indicator is to	LTF tracking tool	RIT report	LTF tracking tool	profile and global	and end of investment	RIT and grant director	use of funds, rather the governance and financial management of LTF instruments This indicator does not directly reflect
Conditions for		of \$\$ housed in sustainable finance mechanisms	track the amount of funding generating income in LTF structures that have received support from CEPF The purpose of this indicator is to	count - addition	RIT report	LTF reports	global	yearly	RIT and grant director	viability of financial mechanisms or their delivery of funds to conservation activities
Sustainability - will any gains be sustained?	ŭ		track how well LTF mechanisms are doing at generating return on investment that can then be delivered to conservation	financial reports	financial reports	financial reports	profile and global	yearly	RIT and grant director	This indicator does not directly reflect the use of funds, rather the ability of LTF instruments to generate financial returns
		change in the timing of financial delivery of funds to conservation projects	The purpose of this indicator is to track how well LTF mechanisms are doing at delivering financial resources to conservation projects	financial reports	financial reports	financial reports	profile and global	yearly	RIT and grant director	This indicator does not directly reflect the ability of funds to achieve conservation success, rather the ability of LTF mechanisms to deliver financial resources to conservation projects
	conservation		The purpose of this indicator is to track the management effectiveness of protected areas with CEPF investment	METT I	METT I	METT I	site and RIT	beginning, middle and end of investment	project manager and RIT	This indicator does not look at Outcome measures, but rather the elements of management that are assumed to lead to conservation success

	CONSCIVATION									
	best practice		The purpose of this indicator is to							
			track the number of projects with					beginning,		This indicator does not look at Outcome
			CEPF investment that adopt better		reports and	reports and		middle and	project	measures, but rather the elements of
		change in the # of best	management practices for activities in		verification	verification		end of	manager and	management that are assumed to lead to
				count	documents	documents	site and RIT	investment	RIT	sustainbility
		a.iageene praeties	The production familiarity				0.00 0.10.11.1			ous cannot make y
		change in the # and %	The purpose of this indicator is to							
		of CEPF grantees with	track the growth in organizational					beginning		This indicator relies on a series of
	individual	improved	management and effectivess of CEPF	civil society	civil society	civil society		and end of	RIT and grant	conditions assumed to confer
	organizations	organizational capacity	grantees	tracking tool	tracking tool	tracking tool	project	investment	director	organizational capacity
			The purpose of this indicator is to		civil society					
		change in the collective	track the collective ability of civil	civil society	collective	civil society		beginning		This indicator relies on a series of
		civil society capacity at	society to influence conservation at	collective	assessment	collective		and end of	RIT and grant	conditions assumed to lead to a
		relevant scale	the relevant scale of CEPF investment	assessment tool	tool	assessment tool	profile	investment	director	functional collective civil society
Civil society - has										
civil society been										
strengthened?			The purpose of this indicator is to							
, o			track connections between civil							
	collective group		society groups and across to other							
	0 1	change in the # of	sectors and is meant to demonstrate					beginning		This indicator assumes that linkages
		networks and	resilience and a stronger ability of civil		grantee			and end of		among institutions will increase the
		partnerships		count	reports	RIT verification	profile	investment	RIT	resilience of civil society
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							,
			The purpose of this indicator is to							
			understand the availability of					beginning,		
		change in the	information necessary to make					middle and		This indicator assumes that the RIT can
		availability of	informed decisions about the		grantee	documents and		end of		assess information availability for the
		information	conservation of biodiversity	RIT assessment	reports	media	profile	investment	RIT	hotspot as a whole