Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund

Terms of Reference

Update and Finalize the Long-Term Strategic Vision for Graduating Civil Society from CEPF Support in the Albertine Rift and Eastern Arc Mountains – Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda

1. Background

The Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF) is a joint initiative of l'Agence Française de Développement, Conservation International, the European Union, the Global Environment Facility, the Government of Japan, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, and the World Bank. It is a global program that provides grants to nongovernmental organizations and other private sector partners to protect critical ecosystems. A fundamental goal of the Fund is to engage civil society in efforts to conserve biodiversity.

CEPF has an active grants portfolio in the Eastern Afromontane Biodiversity Hotspot, which includes 310 key biodiversity areas and 14 conservation corridors spread over fifteen countries from Saudi Arabia in the north to Mozambique in the south. Four years into a seven-year grant-making window, CEPF has obligated around \$9 million out of a total \$12 million currently available to the region. CEPF's efforts in the region are coordinated by a Regional Implementation Team, led by BirdLife International from its offices in Nairobi, with the assistance of IUCN (based in Nairobi and Maputo) and the Ethiopian Wildlife and Natural History Society, based in Addis Ababa.

CEPF is not intended to be a permanent presence in each hotspot. Rather, it works towards an end point at which local civil society "graduates" from its support with sufficient capacity, access to resources, and credibility to respond to future conservation challenges. Experience to date shows that, in most hotspots, reaching a point at which civil society graduates from CEPF support will take more than five years. Consequently, CEPF is preparing long-term strategic visions, which establish what the end point for CEPF investment in each hotspot looks like and determine how to get there. The content of each long-term strategic vision is shown in **Annex 1**, and reflects the idea that "graduation" can be determined when five conditions are met related to conservation, civil society, financing, public policy, and the ability to respond to new issues.

To this end, in late 2014 and early 2015, CEPF engaged a consulting firm, Future Dialogues International of Kampala, Uganda to prepare a "technical framework" for Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, and Uganda, **plus** Burundi, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and South Sudan. That document was formally presented to the CEPF Donor Council, and approved, on 24 June 2015. The document is presented as part of **Annex 5**.

CEPF now wishes to update and finalize the Technical Framework (i.e., the 24 June 2015 document in Annex 5) to improve its targets, policy prescriptions, private sector engagement plans, and financial sustainability programs specifically for Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, and Uganda.

2. Scope of Work

The Consultant team will lead the process to update the Technical Framework of June 2015, with the end result being a document entitled, *Long-Term Strategic Vision for Graduating Civil Society from CEPF Support in the Albertine Rift and Eastern Arc Mountains – Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda.* This document will be based on stakeholder consultations and literature review and it will update the targets in the June 2015 document to make them more precise and/or achievable. This new document will have a particular emphasis on:

- Policy targets, with the goal of mainstreaming biodiversity conservation into national economic development policies and strategies.
- Private sector engagement, with the goal of mainstreaming biodiversity conservation into the actions of major private sector actors in industry, agriculture, or extraction.
- Financial sustainability of interventions, with the goal being to identify, develop, and promote funding streams for CEPF-desired interventions.

The Consultant will be tasked with seeking formal endorsements of this Long-Term Strategic Vision from, as available, government agencies, donors, leading private sector partners, and leading non-government organizations. These endorsements will serve as milestones indicating that the Long-Term Strategic Vision has the support of the broader community.

3. Key Tasks

The consultant, working individually or with a team, will undertake the following tasks.

- 1. Review the existing document from June 2015 to highlight the information needs, appropriate stakeholders, and necessary steps to transform the document into a Long-Term Vision for the four countries.
- 2. Engage with an appropriately crafted set of stakeholders that can inform on policies, private sector engagement, and financial sustainability in the four countries. Stakeholder meetings can take place in person, remotely, electronically, in small group discussions, or via larger workshops. Regarding government stakeholders, these consultations should, at minimum, involve the GEF Operational Focal Point for each of the countries in the hotspot
- 3. Undertake a review of relevant literature, including government and donor strategies for biodiversity conservation and civil society development and key CEPF documents relevant to the hotspot, to ensure alignment of the long-term vision with other initiatives and avoid duplication of effort.
- 4. Synthesize the results of the literature review and stakeholder consultations to:
 - 4.1. Set criteria for determining whether each of the five graduation conditions has been met.
 - 4.2. Set at least one Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound target for each criterion, with milestones for each five-year investment phase.
 - 4.3. Determine how many targets need to be met before the graduation conditions can be considered to be in place.

- 4.4. Identify and prioritize actions that can be taken by CEPF to influence the changes required for the graduation targets to be met.
- 4.5. Prepare a financing plan for the implementation of the long-term vision.
- 5. Present the Long-Term Vision to key stakeholders in order to receive their endorsement, either immediately, but no later than September 2017.

4. Deliverables

- 1. A draft version of the Long-Term Strategic Vision for Graduating Civil Society from CEPF Support in the Albertine Rift and Eastern Arc Mountains Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda, presented to CEPF.
- 2. A final version of the Long-Term Strategic Vision for Graduating Civil Society from CEPF Support in the Albertine Rift and Eastern Arc Mountains Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda, incorporating the comments of CEPF, CEPF's major donors, and other external reviewers.
- 3. Microsoft Powerpoint presentation summarizing the document.
- 4. A statement of process listing all informant stakeholders (including names, position titles, organizational affiliation, e-mail, and phone number), dates of interviews or meetings, and other documentation of process showing how the Long-Term Strategic Vision was completed.

5. Period of Performance

This work is expected to take place between April – July 2017.

6. Budget

The total cost for consulting service to develop the long-term vision for the Albertine Rift and Eastern Arc Mountains is **\$50,000**. This includes the cost of consultant labor, consultant travel, consultant other director costs (e.g., telephone, photocopying), and all stakeholder engagement processes.

7. Minimum Qualifications of Consultants

CEPF requires an individual or small team of individuals with senior-level qualifications to perform the work described here. Individuals will have, at a minimum, 15 years of experience in the field of conservation and sustainable development in the project area; established rapport with senior figures in government, the private sector, donor organizations, and non-profit conservation and development organizations; relevant technical expertise; demonstrated ability to convene and facilitate advisory or stakeholder groups; and demonstrated ability to produce a technically complex strategic document.

Annex 1. Content of Long-Term Visions

The long-term visions will set clear targets for "graduation," that is, the conditions under which CEPF can withdraw from a hotspot with confidence that effective biodiversity conservation programs will continue in a self-sustaining manner. This does not necessarily mean that biodiversity is no longer threatened, but only that the conservation movement, collectively, is able to respond to all present threats and any future threats that could reasonably be expected to arise. Five conditions need to be met in order for a hotspot to graduate from CEPF support.

- Global conservation priorities and best practices for their management are documented, disseminated and used by public and private sector, civil society, and donor agencies to guide their support for conservation in the region.
- 2. Local civil society groups dedicated to global conservation priorities collectively possess sufficient organizational and technical capacity to be effective advocates for, and agents of, conservation and sustainable development, while being equal partners of private sector and government agencies influencing decision making in favor of sustainable societies and economies.
- 3. Adequate and continual financial resources are available to address conservation of global priorities.
- 4. Public policies, the capacity to implement them, and private sector business practices are supportive of the conservation of global biodiversity.
- 5. Mechanisms exist to identify and respond to emerging conservation challenges.

For each hotspot (or sub-region), the first step will be to take the five graduation conditions and make them locally relevant by setting specific criteria and targets. According to the current framework, five criteria are suggested for each condition, making 25 criteria in total (Annex 2). The number of criteria under each condition can be adjusted, according to the relative emphasis that needs to be placed on meeting it. At least one SMART (i.e., Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound) target will be set for each criterion (see Annex 2 for examples). Then, milestones will be set for each target, to enable monitoring of progress during each investment phase and guide course correction if needed (see Annex 3 for examples).

Provided that each target is time-bound, it will be possible to construct a timeline, showing when each of the graduation conditions is expected to be met, and, by extension, how many investment phases will be required to achieve graduation. In large, multi-country hotspots, timelines may be different for each sub-region, with some being expected to reach graduation earlier than others. Some of the graduation targets may have very long timelines, implying that CEPF investment would be required indefinitely. Consequently, it may be necessary to make a pragmatic decision about how many targets need to be met before the graduation conditions can be considered to be in place. In this regard, it may be helpful to distinguish between "essential" targets and "desirable" ones, or to establish numerical thresholds for the number of targets that need to be met before a hotspot is considered to have graduated. Moreover, it will be important that the targets are not used to drive decision making but only to inform it, complemented by expert opinion about what CEPF's impacts have been and what remains to be done to achieve graduation, in order to make the most informed decisions. In any event, it will be necessary to revisit the long-term vision regularly, at least once per investment phase, in order to evaluate progress and revise graduation targets and milestones in response to changing external conditions.

Identifying Actions

CEPF is a grant-making fund, and its principal means of effecting change in the hotspots where it invests is by awarding grants to civil society organizations to implement projects that contribute towards conservation outcomes directly (e.g., by mitigating threats or restoring habitats and populations) or indirectly (e.g., by addressing social, economic and political drivers of biodiversity loss or strengthening the capacity of civil society to engage in conservation). However, CEPF's interventions are not limited to grant-making but also include convening and training of civil society organizations, supporting Regional Implementation Teams (RITs) to integrate the results of pilot projects into public policy and private sector business practices, and developing shared strategies that align investments by multiple donors. All of these ways of working will be emphasized during the new phase.

Once the graduation targets have been set, the next step will be to evaluate each one to determine whether: (1) CEPF can directly effect the changes required for it to be met (e.g., by making grants to implement the necessary changes); (2) CEPF can indirectly effect the required changes (e.g., by strengthening civil society capacity to advocate for them); or (3) the required changes are dependent on external factors beyond CEPF's ability to influence. This step will result in a list of actions that CEPF can take to directly or indirectly influence the required changes (and monitor changes outside of its sphere of influence). The next step will be to order these actions into phases, with actions that are preconditions for other actions being scheduled first. Examples of the types of actions that could be set and how they could be scheduled by investment phase are presented in **Annex 4**.

Setting Financial Targets

Once the actions that need to be taken to influence the changes required for the graduation targets to be met have been identified, the next step will be to set financial targets for each action. These targets should be broken down by investment phase, and also by cost category (e.g., grants, RIT grants, trainings, meetings and special events, etc.). They will form the basis for financing plans for the implementation of the long-term visions, which will be defined in consultation with other donors and informed by an assessment of sustainable financing mechanisms. These plans will help establish an overall cost estimate for meeting the graduation targets, broken down into investment phase, and thereby assist CEPF with its financial planning and fundraising. To ensure they do not become unrealistic, these cost estimates will be informed by projections of available funding, for which it might be necessary to consider different scenarios for expansion of the Fund (e.g., high, medium, and low).

The financing plans will form the basis for regional fundraising strategies, to be developed by the Secretariat after the completion of the long-term visions as a guide to fundraising efforts for each hotspot. These strategies will be used to leverage funding from regional donors, as well as non-traditional sources, such as private companies. They will also determine the current capacity level of the RIT and the need (if any) to enhance this to support fundraising efforts at the hotspot level. In this way, the strategies will contribute to strengthening existing RITs, which is part of CEPF's strategy.

Creating a Theory of Change

A theory of change defines all the steps required to bring about the desired result, in this case graduation, beginning with the actions taken by CEPF and including intermediate steps along a causal pathway, which CEPF may not necessarily be directly involved in. A theory of change can be expounded

in narrative form or as a flow diagram or other visual form. A key element of any theory of change is its assumptions, which explain how the proposed actions are expected to bring about the desired outcomes. It is important to test these assumptions, in order to ensure that the theory of change is robust. This is especially true for CEPF, because assumptions that are reasonable for one hotspot may not necessarily hold true for another hotspot.

CEPF's global theory of change rests on eight key assumptions. These provide a starting point for the long-term vision, although individual visions may reject some of these assumptions or find it necessary to make additional ones:

- 1. The main drivers of biodiversity loss operate at local, national and regional scales and can be influenced by conservation interventions at these different scales.
- 2. Civil society organizations are present and willing to engage in biodiversity conservation, to partner with unfamiliar actors from other sectors, and to adopt innovative approaches.
- 3. The capacity of civil society organizations can be augmented and translated into more effective local conservation movements.
- 4. Short-term grant funding can make significant contributions to overcoming the resource constraints facing civil society organizations.
- 5. Increasing the capacity and credibility of local civil society organizations is likely to open political space for these organizations as they become recognized as trusted advisors (rather than causing them to be viewed as threats to vested interests).
- 6. Some government and private sector/corporate actors are receptive to innovative conservation models demonstrated by CEPF projects and have incentives to adopt these for wider replication.
- 7. National academic institutions produce graduates with the skills and perspective to respond to local conservation challenges by working with or within civil society organizations.
- 8. Raised local public awareness that results from the participation of these organizations in conservation issues has the potential to change attitudes and, ultimately, behavior towards the consumption of energy and natural resources.

In particular, it may be necessary to make additional assumptions dealing with contingencies (e.g., political instability or restrictions on the activities of civil society organizations) that would represent significant reversals for CEPF's efforts at achieving graduation. These may include some 'critical assumptions' that would be triggers for reconsidering CEPF's continued engagement in a region if they were found to no longer be met.

Annex 2. Conditions, suggested criteria, and example targets for hotspots to graduate from CEPF support

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
1. Conservation priorities and	Globally threatened species. Comprehensive global	Global threat assessments are completed for at
best practices	threat assessments conducted for all terrestrial	least 90% of all recorded species of terrestrial
Global conservation priorities	vertebrates, vascular plants and at least selected	vertebrate, vascular plant and at least three major
(e.g., globally threatened species,	freshwater taxa.	freshwater taxa in the hotspot, and with results
Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs),		incorporated onto the IUCN Red List.
reservoirs of natural capital, etc.)	Key Biodiversity Areas. KBAs identified in all	KBAs are identified in all countries and territories in
and best practices for their	countries and territories in the hotspot, covering, at	the hotspot, covering terrestrial, freshwater and
management are identified,	minimum, terrestrial, freshwater and coastal	coastal ecosystems, with broad-based support for
documented, disseminated and	ecosystems.	these priorities among government and civil
used by public sector, private		society.
sector, civil society and donor	Reservoirs of natural capital. Reservoirs of natural	Reservoirs of natural capital are identified in all
agencies to guide their support	capital identified in all countries and territories in the	countries and territories in the hotspot for at least
for conservation in the hotspot.	hotspot, covering ecosystem services particularly	three ecosystem services essential to healthy,
	critical to human survival.	sustainable societies (e.g. climate resilience,
		freshwater, provisioning etc.) and incorporated into national economic accounts.
	Conservation plans. Conservation priorities	
	incorporated into national or regional conservation	Globally threatened species, KBAs and/or conservation corridors are incorporated into at
	plans or strategies developed with the participation	least one national conservation plan or strategy in
	of multiple stakeholders.	each hotspot country or at least one regional
	of multiple stakeholders.	conservation plan or strategy developed with the
		participation of multiple stakeholders.
	Management best practices. Best practices for	Conservation management practices are adopted
	managing conservation priorities (e.g., sustainable	and institutionalized by at least 90% of CEPF priority
	livelihoods projects, participatory approaches to	KBAs, as a basis for their sustainable management
	park management, invasive species control, etc.) are	over the next 10 years.
	introduced, institutionalized, and sustained at CEPF	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	priority KBAs and corridors.	

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
2. Civil society capacity Local civil society groups dedicated to conserving conservation priorities collectively possess sufficient organizational and technical capacity to be effective advocates for, and agents of, conservation and sustainable development for at least the next 10 years.	Conservation community. The community of civil society organizations is sufficiently broad and deep-rooted to respond to key conservation issues and collectively possesses the technical competencies of critical importance to conservation. Institutional capacity. Local civil society groups collectively possess sufficient institutional and operational capacity and structures to raise funds for conservation and to ensure the efficient management of conservation projects and	At least 20 local civil society organizations (including ones with a development-focused mission) are engaged in biodiversity conservation, with at least three of them playing a leadership role, in each hotspot country. At least 20 local civil society organizations in the hotspot have a civil society tracking tool score of 80
	Partnerships. Effective mechanisms (e.g., discussion forums, round-tables, mutual support networks, alliances, etc.) exist for conservation-focused civil society groups to work in partnership with one another, and through networks with local communities, governments, the private sector, donors, and other important stakeholders, in pursuit of common conservation and development objectives.	At least 20 partnerships, alliances, networks or similar mechanisms exist that enable civil society groups to leverage their complementary capacities and maximize impact.
	Financial resources. Local civil society organizations have access to long-term funding sources to maintain the conservation results achieved via CEPF grants and/or other initiatives, through access to new donor funds, conservation enterprises, memberships, endowments, and/or other funding mechanisms.	At least five local civil society organizations in each country have access to stable and diversified long-term funding sources sufficient to maintain their current programs indefinitely without relying on international donors.
	Transformational impact . Local civil society groups are able, individually or collectively, to influence public policies and private sector practices in sectors with a large footprint on biodiversity.	Biodiversity conservation models demonstrated or promoted by local civil society are incorporated into at least one national or sub-national policy and the business practices of at least two influential private sector companies per year.

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
3. Sustainable financing	Public sector funding. Public sector agencies	The three largest public sector agencies responsible
Adequate and continual financial	responsible for conservation in the hotspot have a	for conservation in each hotspot country have
resources are available to	continued public fund allocation or revenue-	sufficient financial resources to effectively deliver
address conservation of global	generating ability to operate effectively.	their missions.
priorities for at least the next 10	Civil society funding. Civil society organizations	At least 9 of the 10 largest civil society
years.	engaged in conservation in the hotspot have access	organizations engaged in conservation in the
	to sufficient funding to continue their work at	hotspot have access to sufficient secured funding to
	current levels.	continue their work, at least at current levels, for at
		least the next five years.
	Donor funding. Donors other than CEPF have	Donors other than CEPF are committed to providing
	committed to providing sufficient funds to address	funding for conservation in the hotspot that, in
	global conservation priorities in the hotspot.	combination with public sector and civil society
		funding, is sufficient to address global conservation
		priorities for at least the next 10 years.
	Mainstreaming of conservation goals. Ministries of	The ministry of finance and at least two line
	finance and line ministries responsible for	ministries in each hotspot country have
	development have adopted key conservation goals	incorporated conservation priorities into their plans
	and use them as criteria for allocating resources.	and policies and use them as criteria for allocating
		significant financial resources in key development
		sectors (e.g. agriculture, fisheries, energy, etc.).
	Long-term mechanisms. Financing mechanisms	Sustainable financing mechanisms (e.g.,
	(e.g., trust funds, revenue from the sale of carbon	endowment funds, green taxes, payments for
	credits, etc.) exist and are of sufficient size to yield	environmental services, etc.) supporting the
	continuous long-term returns for at least the next	conservation of CEPF priority KBAs operate and
	10 years.	yield funding such that financial constraints are no
		longer identified as a barrier to effective
		conservation management for at least 90% of CEPF
		priority KBAs.

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
4. Enabling policy and institutional environment Public policies, the capacity to implement them, and private sector business practices are supportive of the conservation of global biodiversity.	Legal environment for conservation. Laws exist that provide incentives for desirable conservation behavior and disincentives against undesirable behavior.	Each hotspot country's commitments under multilateral environmental agreements are reflected in its national laws (not only environment-related laws but also those for key development sectors), and these laws are elucidated through detailed regulations that provide for sufficient incentives and disincentives to encourage behavior consistent with them, and these laws or regulations are updated at least once every 10 years.
	Legal environment for civil society. Laws exist that allow for civil society to engage in the public policymaking and implementation process.	Local civil society organizations in all hotspot countries legally allowed to convene, organize, register, receive funds, and engage in conservation activities and these laws taken advantage of by local civil society organizations working in any sector (e.g., environment, public health, education, etc).
	Education and training. Domestic programs exist that produce trained environmental managers at secondary, undergraduate, and advanced academic levels. Enforcement. Designated authorities are clearly mandated to manage the protected area system(s) in the hotspot and conserve biodiversity outside of them, and are empowered to implement the enforcement continuum of education, prevention, interdiction, arrest, and prosecution.	At least 90% of all senior leadership positions in government conservation agencies and leading conservation NGOs are staffed by local country nationals. At least 70% of protected areas in each hotspot country have their boundaries demarcated on the ground and are patrolled regularly (at least two weeks out of every month), and if at least 50% of arrests for conservation offenses lead to a penalty being imposed (fine, confiscation, imprisonment,

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
	Business practices. Private sector business practices in sectors with a (potentially) large biodiversity footprint are supportive of the conservation of natural habitats and species populations.	At least two key change agents (i.e., market-leading and influential companies) in each business sector in the hotspot with a large biodiversity footprint (actual or potential) have introduced business practices supportive of the conservation of natural
5. Responsiveness to emerging issues Mechanisms exist to identify and respond to emerging conservation issues.	Biodiversity monitoring. Nationwide or region-wide systems are in place to monitor status and trends of the components of biodiversity.	habitats and species populations across their operations. Systems are in place to monitor status and trends in selected habitats, species and populations across at least 90% of the hotspot by area, and data from these systems are being used to guide the allocation of conservation resources.
	Threats monitoring. Nationwide or region-wide systems are in place to monitor status and trends of threats to biodiversity.	Systems are in place to monitor status and trends in threats to biodiversity (e.g., forest fire, wildlife trade, invasive species, etc.) across at least 90% of the hotspot by area, and results are being used to guide the allocation of conservation and development resources.
	Natural capital monitoring. Nationwide or regionwide systems are in place to value and monitor status and trends of natural capital.	Systems are in place to value and monitor status and trends in at least three ecosystem services essential to healthy, sustainable societies (e.g., freshwater provision, carbon sequestration, crop pollination, etc.) across at least 90% of the hotspot by area, and results are being used to guide the allocation of conservation and development resources.
	Adaptive management. Conservation organizations and protected area management authorities demonstrate the ability to respond promptly to emerging issues.	The major conservation organizations in all countries in the hotspot can demonstrate that they have adapted their missions, strategies or workplans to respond to an emerging conservation issue at least once during the past three years.

Graduation condition	Suggested criteria	Example targets
	Public sphere. Conservation issues are regularly discussed in the public sphere, and these discussions influence public policy.	Conservation issues are regularly (i.e. at least monthly) discussed in the public sphere (e.g., in national and local media, internet-based forums, public forums, etc.) in all countries in the hotspot, and these discussions are seen to periodically
		influence relevant public policy (i.e. at least annually in each country).

Annex 3. Example milestones for selected graduation targets

Graduation condition 2. Civil society capacity Local civil society groups dedicated to conserving conservation priorities collectively possess sufficient organizational and technical capacity to be effective advocates for, and agents of, conservation and sustainable development for at least the next 10 years. Milestone for first investment Milestone for third investment **Graduation target** Milestone for second phase (2026-2030) phase (2016-2020) investment phase (2021-2025) 2.1 At least 20 local civil society At least 10 local civil society At least 15 local civil society At least 20 local civil society groups are engaged in biodiversity organizations are engaged in organizations are engaged in organizations are engaged in biodiversity conservation, with at conservation, with at least three of biodiversity conservation in biodiversity conservation, with at them playing a leadership role, in least one of them playing a least three of them playing a each country. each hotspot country. leadership role, in each country. leadership role, in each country. At least 10 local civil society Target expected to be met in 2.2 At least 20 local civil society At least 20 local civil society organizations in the hotspot have a organizations in the hotspot organizations in the hotspot previous phase. civil society tracking tool score of 80 have a civil society tracking tool have a civil society tracking tool score of 80 or more. score of 80 or more. or more. 2.3 At least 20 partnerships, At least 10 partnerships, At least 20 partnerships, Target expected to be met in alliances, networks or similar alliances, or networks enable alliances, or networks enable previous phase. mechanisms exist that enable civil civil society groups to leverage civil society groups to leverage society groups to leverage their their complementary capacities their complementary capacities complementary capacities and and maximize impact. and maximize impact. maximize impact. 2.4 At least five local civil society No progress towards target At least one local civil society At least five local civil society organizations in each country have expected in this phase. organization in each country has organizations in each country have access to long-term funding access to stable and diversified longaccess to long-term funding sources sufficient to maintain its term funding sources sufficient to sources sufficient to maintain maintain their current programs current program indefinitely their current programs indefinitely without relying on without relying on international indefinitely without relying on international donors. donors. international donors. 2.5 Biodiversity conservation models No progress towards target At least three conservation Biodiversity conservation models demonstrated by local civil society demonstrated by local civil society expected in this phase. models demonstrated by local are incorporated into at least one are incorporated into at least one civil society are incorporated into national/sub-national policy and the national/sub-national policy and the public policy or private sector business practices of at least two

influential companies per year.

business practices of at least two	business practices over five	
influential companies per year.	years.	

Annex 4. Example actions for meeting selected graduation targets

conservation agencies and leading

Graduation condition 4. Enabling policy and institutional environment Public policies, the capacity to implement them, and private sector business practices are supportive of the conservation of global biodiversity. **Graduation target Actions for first investment** Actions for second investment **Actions for third investment** phase (2016-2020) phase (2021-2025) phase (2026-2030) 4.1 Each hotspot country's Support pilot projects that Support pilot projects that Support grantees to document commitments under multilateral demonstrate conservation demonstrate conservation results of pilot projects and use environmental agreements are to influence relevant laws and incentives and disincentives incentives and disincentives reflected in its national laws, and relevant to national laws. relevant to national laws. regulations. these laws are elucidated Strengthen the capacity of local Support grantees to document through detailed regulations that provide for sufficient incentives civil society organizations to results of pilot projects and use influence public policy. and disincentives to encourage to influence relevant laws and behavior consistent with them, regulations. and these laws or regulations are updated at least once every 10 years. 4.2 Local civil society The required changes are The required changes are The required changes are organizations in all hotspot dependent on external factors dependent on external factors dependent on external factors countries legally allowed to beyond CEPF's ability to beyond CEPF's ability to beyond CEPF's ability to convene, organize, register, influence. influence. influence. receive funds, and engage in conservation activities and these laws taken advantage of by local civil society organizations working in any sector (e.g., environment, public health, education, etc). 4.3 At least 90% of all senior Target expected to be met in Support local academic Support local academic organizations to deliver training previous phase. leadership positions in government organizations to deliver training

in conservation leadership.

in conservation leadership.

conservation NGOs are staffed by			
local country nationals.			
Graduation target	Actions for first investment	Actions for second investment	Actions for third investment
	phase (2016-2020)	phase (2021-2025)	phase (2026-2030)
4.4 At least 70% of protected	Support protected area	Support grantees to document	Support grantees to document
areas in each hotspot country	demarcation at CEPF priority	results of protected area	results of pilot projects and
have their boundaries	KBAs.	demarcation at CEPF priority	promote amplification by
demarcated on the ground and		KBAs and promote amplification	national conservation agencies.
are patrolled regularly (at least	Strengthen capacity of civil	by national conservation	
two weeks out of every month),	society organizations in protected	agencies.	
and if at least 50% of arrests for	area management and thereby		
conservation offenses lead to a	strengthen their credibility with	Support pilot projects to enhance	
penalty being imposed (fine,	government.	enforcement of protected area	
confiscation, imprisonment, etc.).		and wildlife protection legislation	
		at CEPF priority KBAs.	
4.5 At least two key change	Support pilot projects that	Support grantees to document	Support grantees to promote
agents (i.e., market-leading and	demonstrate models for	results of pilot projects and	adoption of biodiversity-friendly
influential companies) in each	sustainable production that is	promote amplification at other	business practices by key change
business sector in the hotspot	supportive of the conservation	conservation areas through	agents in the agriculture, mining
with a large biodiversity footprint	(or restoration) of natural	adoption into private sector	and tourism sectors.
(actual or potential) have	habitats and species populations.	practices.	
introduced business practices			
supportive of the conservation of	Strengthen capacity of civil	Support strategic partnerships	
natural habitats and species	society organizations in	between civil society	
populations across their	sustainable production and	organizations and key change	
operations.	thereby strengthen their	agents in the private sector.	
	credibility with the private sector.		

Annex 5. Technical Framework for Graduating Civil Society from CEPF Support in the Albertine Rift
and Eastern Arc Mountains; Presented to the CEPF Donor Council on 24 June 2015.

See separate electronic file.