**WWF Russia**

Evaluation form for Expert Review Team

Small Grants for Civil Society Organizations for biodiversity conservation projects in the Mountains of Central Asia, 2020 -2024

PROJECT TITLE:

NAME OF EVALUATING EXPERT:

OVERALL SCORE: (out of 100)

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION:**

Each reviewer is asked to review the proposal using the form below. For each criterion, you will be asked to score the project and to write comments explaining your scores. Reviewers are asked to also provide an overall rating of the proposal. The overall rating should be based on your overall assessment of the proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | How well does the proposal match the priority areas and species described in the CfP? |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (take into account species, KBAs, priority KBAs and Corridors described in the CfP): | Score (0-15): |
| 2. | How well does the proposal match the Investment Priorities described in the CfP? |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (take into account the Strategic directions described in the CfP): | Score (0-15): |
| 3. | Does the project include other priorities of the Ecosystem Profile, but not in the CfP?  |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment: | Score (0-10): |
| 4. | International or local organization |
| Local organization = 5International with experience = 3International without experience in the Hotspot = 0 | Score (0, 3, or 5): |
| 5. | Technical coherence of the project  |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (does the project include clear, appropriate, achievable results and indicators?):  | Score (0-15): |
| 6. | Technical competency of proponent:  |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (does the proponent appear to have sufficient experience and expertise to carry out the activities in the proposal?): | Score (0-15): |
| 7.  | Budget and financial competency:  |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (is the budget cost-efficient and logical? Can the proponent show evidence of good financial management?): | Score (0-15): |
| 8. | Stakeholders and Beneficiaries and capacity building:  |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment (does the project identify all relevant stakeholders and include community participation): | Score (0-10): |
| 9. | Overall rating of this proposal: |
| Narrative Explanation: | Total (0-100): |

Do you recommend this proposal for funding?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Narrative Explanation of your assessment: | Yes |
| Maybe (with changes) |
| No |

General comment box:

|  |
| --- |
|  |