



## **Stakeholder Engagement Plan**

**May 5, 2023**

**Grant CEPF-114038**

**FUNDACIÓN PARA EL MEJORAMIENTO HUMANO**

**UPDATING OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN OF THE EBANO VERDE SCIENTIFIC RESERVE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.**

## Grant Summary

- 1. Beneficiary organization: FUNDACIÓN PARA EL MEJORAMIENTO HUMANO**
- 2. Project title: Update of the management plan of the Ébano Verde Scientific Reserve, Dominican Republic.**
- 3. Grant number: CEPF-114038**
- 4. Grant amount (US dollars) USD\$49,473.00**
- 5. Proposed grant dates: 1 July 2023 – 30 June 2024**
- 6. Countries where the activities will take place: Dominican Republic**
- 7. Date of preparation of this document: May 9, 2023**

## 8. Caribbean Hotspot Project Overview

The Caribbean Islands Biodiversity Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF) Small Grants Facility is being implemented in the Caribbean Islands by the Caribbean Natural Resources Institute (CANARI), acting as the Regional Implementation Team (RIT). for its acronym in English). After a successful first investment in the Caribbean Islands Biodiversity Hotspot between 2011 and 2016, the CEPF has returned to the region for a second phase of investment from August 2021 to July 2026, amounting to US\$11.8 million.

The objective of the CEPF is to conserve biodiversity. Its approach is to build local conservation leadership and promote sustainable development by developing locally-driven conservation strategies and providing grants to civil society to implement those strategies. In the Caribbean, the CEPF aims to strengthen the protection and management of globally important biodiversity within 32 priority Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs) and seven conservation corridors in Antigua and Barbuda; The Bahamas; Dominican Republic; Haiti; Jamaica; Saint Lucia; Saint Vincent and the Grenadines.

## 9. Project Summary

The objective of the project is to update the management plan of the Ébano Verde Scientific Reserve (RCEV), with strategies that allow for the protection of the protected area using activities such as environmental education and the promotion of sustainable alternative economic activities including ecotourism. The project will be implemented under 7 components namely:

1. Initiation of the elaboration of the management plan (Introduction and training workshop).
2. Updating the diagnosis of the protected area, considering biophysical and socio-economic information, uses, users, threats and impacts, including vulnerabilities to climate change.
3. Update of the zoning of the protected area, based on the intersection of natural and human landscapes, analysis of the legal framework.
4. Elaboration of the strategic framework (10 years), framed in the areas of management with management strategies that include protection of the natural heritage, incentive to socio-economic development, local participation, protection and surveillance, education, financial sustainability of the protected area, among others.
5. Elaboration of the programmatic framework (short term - 1 year as Annual Operational Plan, POA), defining the actions to be implemented within the defined programmes and strategies for

natural heritage protection, socio-economic development, ecotourism, financial sustainability, and others.

6. Capacity building for the implementation of the strategic framework.
7. Project monitoring on the update of the RCEV management plan

## 10. Summary of previous stakeholder engagement activities

During the preparation of the project proposal, Fundación Progressio has sought the involvement of and participation of several key stakeholders. It is a requirement that the elaboration of the plan must be done utilizing a participatory approach. In this regard, Progressio has consulted with several key governmental partners, as well as private sector actors and the communities surrounding the protected area. The list of stakeholders consulted includes:

- Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources and the directors of the Environment of La Vega, Jarabacoa and Constanza for the approval of the preparation of the management plan, as well as for the accompaniment during the process.
- Ministry of Education, with the educational districts of La Vega: 06-04 and 06-05, Jarabacoa: 06-03 and Constanza: 06-02.
- Aqueducts of the cities of La Vega, San Francisco de Macorís, Salcedo, Tenares
- Dominican Hydroelectric Generation Company (EGEHID)
- Dominican Institute of Hydraulic Resources (INDHRI)
- District Mayors of La Palma, Constanza and Paso Bajito, Jarabacoa.
- The communities of La Palma, El Arroyazo and Paso Bajito
- Rice producers in the area of La Vega and El Ranchito.

## 11. Stakeholders

Table 10.1 lists the main stakeholders in this project.

*Table 10.1 Project Stakeholders*

Stakeholder group	Type of actors/ stakeholders (partner / key stakeholder)-	Role in the project	Interests (low / medium / high)	Influence (low / medium / high)	Components/activities where they will affect
Progressio Foundation	Key interest	Will ensure the coordination of the Project with its constant presence in the territory, facilitating the dialogue between the different partners and actors of the project. It will also facilitate the participation of actors from the environment of the protected area and from other provinces so that they visit the project, learn about the proposed strategy and the management model of the Reserve established in the Dominican Republic. Likewise, it will also be in charge of maintaining relations with CANARI and preparing financial and narrative reports.	high	high	Ensure compliance with the components of the project, the commitments acquired and comply with the execution deadline. Manage funds/ Project Management and reporting

Vice Ministry of Protected Areas and Biodiversity of the Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources (Key actor)	Partner	As a State entity, it will have the role of ensuring that the activities are implemented in accordance with its strategic plan and its thematic priorities. Will participate actively supervising the development of the management plan. Likewise, the directors of the Environment of La Vega, Jarabacoa and Constanza will be involved.	high	high	Ensure that the update of the management plan is carried out under the guidelines stipulated by this entity; approval of management plan, approval in the management of co-management of the area. Provide specific advice. Support in logistics issues and in the hiring of basic personnel for conservation
Ministry of Education	Partner	Involvement of students for the promotion of the conservation of the natural resources of the protected area, and promotion of courses on the importance of the RCEV.	Medium	low	Training and education
Aqueducts of the cities of La Vega, San Francisco de Macorís, Salcedo, Tenares / Dominican Hydroelectric Generation Company (EGEHID) / Dominican Institute of Hydraulic Resources ( INDHRI ), Government authorities	Key interest	Currently direct beneficiaries of the protected area will be incorporated into the management process and whose activities will be considered	high	high	
Distrito mayors of La Palma, Constanza and Paso Bajito, Jarabacoa.	Key interest		medium	medium	Participation in the whole process.
Communities of La Palma, El Arroyazo and Paso Bajito	beneficiaries	They are key beneficiaries of the RCEV ecosystem services and must be actively incorporated into the conservation strategies of the protected area.	high	medium	Participation in the whole process.

Rice producers in the area of La Vega and El Ranchito	beneficiaries	They are key beneficiaries of the RCEV ecosystem services and must be actively incorporated into the conservation strategies of the protected area.	high	medium	Participation in the whole process.
-------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------	--------	-------------------------------------

## 12. Stakeholder engagement programme

The stakeholder engagement programme aims to ensure equal opportunities for stakeholder groups to participate in discussions and have access to information and benefits derived from the activities of the sub-project. In the context of this project, meaningful consultation is a two-way process that:

- a) starts at an early stage of the planning process to gather initial views on proposals and inform the design of activities;
- b) encourages stakeholder feedback, in particular as a way of informing the definition of activities and their scope, and stakeholder engagement in identifying and mitigating environmental and social risks and impacts;
- c) spreads continuously, as risks and impacts arise;
- d) is based on the prior disclosure and dissemination of relevant, transparent, objective, meaningful and easily accessible information, within a timeframe that allows meaningful consultations with stakeholders, in a culturally appropriate format, in the relevant local language(s) and that is understandable to interested parties
- e) be aware and respond to comments
- f) support active and inclusive engagement with stakeholders affected by the project
- g) is free from external manipulation, interference, coercion, discrimination and intimidation; and
- h) is documented and disclosed by the beneficiary.

When working with stakeholders on a project like this, there are several social risks to consider that can be mitigated through appropriate stakeholder engagement.

Stakeholder engagement mechanisms will vary depending on the group and its level of interest/influence. **Table 12.1** below provides the appropriate method for each commitment for the stakeholder groups identified above (it is not a commitment to use all methods)

*Table 12.1 Methods that will be used to consult and engage each stakeholder group*

Stakeholders	Possible methods of consultation and engagement
Local NGOs and groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regular face-to-face and virtual meetings between partner organizations, both individually and in groups with other stakeholders and/or interested/key groups</li> <li>• Printed information material to share the results of the components related to the project</li> </ul>
Local communities, including but not limited to women, unemployed youth, older people, LGBTI people, people with disabilities and immigrants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interviews, exchange of information, experiences and related through individual meetings.</li> <li>• Printed information material to share the results of the components related to the project</li> </ul>
National and local government agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regular one-on-one and group face-to-face and virtual meetings with partners or other stakeholders</li> </ul>

Stakeholders	Possible methods of consultation and engagement
Private Sector Partners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regular face-to-face and virtual meetings between partner organizations, both individually and in groups with other stakeholders and/or interested/key groups</li> <li>Printed information material to share the results of the components related to the project</li> </ul>

Consultations will be held with the stakeholders affected by the project mentioned above. These consultations are to ensure equal opportunities for groups to participate in discussions, provide valuable information, and access information arising from project activities. Consultations will occur primarily through:

- Individual meetings with community members and group meetings between key actors at existing quarterly meetings.
- Periodic meetings and/or consultations with the Vice Ministry of Protected Areas and Biodiversity of the Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources and its provincial office are held throughout the process and guided by the guidelines stipulated for updating the management plan and for establishing the co-management agreement for the area.
- Printed and/or online information material to share the results of the components related to the project.

### 13. Other participation activities

The general public will participate through Fundación Progressio's social networks. The information elaborated on the project processes / update of the management plan / on the co-management model for this KBA will be shared.

### 14. Timeline and Resources

Stakeholder involvement is an important element of this project. Table 14.1 below describes the indicative timeline for implementation of P. The budget for the implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan (PPI)

has been incorporated into the project budget.

**Table 14.1: Indicative implementation schedule**

Actions	Estimated Cost (USD)	Implementation timeline			
		2023		2024	
Monthly/bi-tri-monthly meetings between partner organizations for the process of activities contemplated in the project		X	X	X	X
Monthly/bi-tri-monthly meetings between MARENA partner organizations for the co-management modality process		X	X	X	X
Community meetings for information, general awareness and socialization of the management plan update process		X	X	X	
Commitment to interested persons/groups related to the area to participate in activities related to the updating of the plan		X	X		
Meetings of consultants (and with MARENA) to develop the update of the co-management plan and model		X	X	X	X
Final event to present the management plan update					X

## 15. Monitoring and arrangements

The Head of Fundación Progressio will be responsible for checking periodically with the Project Coordinator to ensure that the Stakeholder management plan is being followed and if any complaints or complaints have been submitted. The implementation of the ESS will be reported during the biannual progress reports.

For the follow-up of the commitment itself, the following will be carried out:

- Registration and registration sheets will be used.
- For the workshops/training or information sessions, a pre- and post-questionnaire will be carried out for the participants. In this way, the effectiveness of the sessions will be evaluated.
- The number of people, including their gender and age range, participating in each engagement activity will be recorded.
- Notes of the consultations will be taken and comments and suggestions will be incorporated into the outcome document.

## 16. Disclosure

We will provide all project team members and project stakeholders with the stakeholder engagement plan at the beginning of the project.

## 17. Grievance or Grievance Mechanism (MR)

The following is the GM for the project to address concerns of the Fundación Progressio's external stakeholders. The GM will be made available to stakeholders once the project starts. Grievances that relate to project workers will be handled by a separate mechanism which is included as part of the project's Labor Management Procedure.

This GM is streamlined, considering the limited scope of project activities at the community level and the low risk of adverse social impacts. The key measures will be to explain the purpose of any visit to stakeholders, explain the existence of the GM and make available contact information of Progressio and the CEPF RIT. This will be done through a printed handout or other locally appropriate means.

### Objectives of the GM

The objectives of the MR are to:

1. Ensure that World Bank NAS are complied with in all project activities.
2. Address any negative environmental and social impacts of all project activities.
3. Resolve all complaints arising from project activities in a timely manner.
4. Establish relationships of trust between project staff and stakeholders.
5. Create transparency between stakeholders, including affected persons, through an established communication system.
6. Strengthen the relationship of trust between project personnel and affected parties.

### First Level of Redress

1. **Receive complaints:** at the project level, all complaints must be received by the executive director of Fundación Progressio's **Executive Director, Doña Miriam**. Complaints may be made personally, in writing,

verbally by telephone, by e-mail or by any other appropriate means. Reports can be submitted anonymously. The point of receipt of complaints is detailed below:

Contact	
Position	Executive Director
Telephone	809-565-1422
Email	fund.progressio@yahoo.com
Physical address	P.O. Box No. 22036 Erick Leonard Ekman No. 14, Altos de Arroyo Hondo Santo Domingo. Dominican Republic

At the local and national level, complaints should be sent to:

Contact	
Position	Executive Director
Telephone	809-565-1422
Email	fund.progressio@yahoo.com
Physical address	P.O. Box No. 22036 Erick Leonard Ekman No. 14, Altos de Arroyo Hondo Santo Domingo. Dominican Republic

All complaints received by Fundación Progressio staff must be forwarded to the Executive Director within 24 hours of receipt.

**2. Acknowledgement of receipt:** the Executive Director shall acknowledge receipt of all complaints by telephone or in writing within 48 hours of receipt and the complainant shall be informed of the approximate time limit for resolving the complaint, if it cannot be resolved immediately. The Executive Director shall endeavour to ensure the prompt resolution of the complaint. If the complaint cannot be resolved at this level, it will be moved on to the next level.

**3. Registration:** the complaint will be registered in Progression’s complaints file, including the relevant documents.

**4. Notification:** communication of the grievance as follows:

- a. If it refers to the project, communication to the Project Coordinator
- b. Notification shall also be made to the CEPF grant director and the Regional Implementation Team manager within 15 days.
- c. If it refers to operations/general activity of Fundación Progressio, communication to the Executive Director.

**5. Evaluation:** a decision is made about the nature of the research to be carried out.

**6. Investigation:** proper investigation of the complaint by an internal team assigned to this task (e.g. may include staff directly involved). The investigation may include meetings with the complainant and other interested parties and a review of relevant documents. Meetings with the complainant shall involve an impartial party. Representatives of the community or the complainant may attend these meetings. Meeting minutes and documents shall be incorporated into the complaint file.

**7. Resolution:** Depending on the findings of the investigation:

- a. A resolution is decided immediately
  - a. The complaint is rejected
  - b. An answer is agreed
  - c. The complaint is forwarded as appropriate

- b. No resolution can be reached, and the case is submitted to the CEPF Grant Director or the Fundación Progressio Grievance Committee for further information.

8. Communication: Once a decision has been reached, the decision is communicated to the complainant in writing. The documents are added to the complaint file.

9. Satisfaction: If the plaintiff is not satisfied with the Fundación Progressio’s response, they may move to the second level of relief. At all stages, documents are added to the complaint file.

NB: The complainant may request that the matter be transferred to the second level of redress if he/she does not feel that the complaint is being adequately addressed by the director of the Caribbean Islands Biodiversity Hotspot Grant.

**Second Level of Redress**

If complainants are not satisfied with the way their claim has been dealt with at the first level, they will be given the opportunity to raise it directly with the Director of the CEPF Caribbean Islands Biodiversity Hotspot Grant, who they can contact as follows:

Contact	
Title	RIT Manager for CEPF Caribbean Islands Hotspot
Telephone	Phone +1-868-638-6062
Email address	caribbeanrit@canari.org
Physical address	Caribbean Natural Resources Institute, #105 Twelfth Street, Barataria, Trinidad y Tobago

**Third level of Redress**

If claimants are not satisfied with the way in which their grievance has been handled at level two, they can contact the CEPF Executive Director via the CI Ethics Hotline (telephone: +1-866-294-8674 / web portal: <https://secure.ethicspoint.com/domain/media/en/gui/10680/index.html>).

**Fourth Level of Redress**

If the complainant is not satisfied with the way in which their grievance has been handled at level three, they have the opportunity to raise it with the CEPF Executive Director via the CI Ethics Hotline. The CI Ethics Hotline consists of a toll-free telephone line (+1-866-294-8674) and a secure web portal (<https://secure.ethicspoint.com/domain/media/en/gui/10680/index.html>) that allows grievances to be made anonymously.

If the complainant is still not satisfied, following the response by the CEPF Executive Director, they will be given the option of submitting their grievance to the World Bank’s Grievance Redress Service but this should only be accessed after other GRM options have been exhausted by the claimant. The RIT and CEPF Secretariat will aim to resolve all grievances within 60 days of receipt/

The World Bank Claims Facility (GRS)

The complainant has the option of approaching the World Bank, if it considers that the established GRS cannot resolve the matter. It should be noted that, ideally, this GRS should only be accessed once the project grievance mechanism has been used for the first time without an acceptable resolution. World Bank procedures require the complainant to express their grievances in writing to the World Bank office in Washington DC by completing the GRS complaint form, which can be found at the following link: <http://www.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/products-and-services/grievance-redress-service#5>. Completed forms will be accepted by email, fax, letter, and hand delivery to the GRS at World Bank headquarters in Washington or at World Bank country offices.

Email: [grievances@worldbank.org](mailto:grievances@worldbank.org)

Fax: +1-202-614-7313

By letter: World Bank, Grievance Repair Service (GRS) MSN MC 10-1018 NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA

## 18. Disclosure

The specific nature of sexual exploitation and abuse and of sexual harassment (SEA/SH) requires tailored measures for the reporting, and safe and ethical handling of such allegations. A survivor-centered approach aims to ensure that anyone who has been the target of SEA/SH is treated with dignity, and that the person's rights, privacy, needs and wishes are respected and prioritized in any and all interactions.

The Grantee will specify an individual who will be responsible for dealing with any SEA/SH issues, should they arise. A list of SEA/SH service providers will be kept available by the project. The Grantee should assist SEA/SH survivors by referring them to Services Provider(s) for support immediately after receiving a complaint directly from a survivor.

To address SEA/SH, the project will follow the guidance provided on the World Bank Technical Note "Addressing Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Sexual Harassment (SEA/SH) in Investment Project Financing Involving Civil Works". This Grantee will follow the official WB definitions described on the Technical Note as shown below: Sexual Abuse (SEA) is an actual or threatened physical intrusion of a sexual nature, whether by force or under unequal or coercive conditions.

Sexual Exploitation (SE) refers to any actual or attempted abuse of a position of vulnerability, differential power, or trust, for sexual purposes, including, but not limited to, profiting monetarily, socially or politically from the sexual exploitation of another.

Sexual harassment (SH) is any unwelcome sexual advance, request for sexual favour, verbal or physical conduct or gesture of a sexual nature, or any other behaviour of a sexual nature that might reasonably be expected or be perceived to cause offense or humiliation to another, when such conduct interferes with work, is made a condition of employment or creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment.

Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Sexual Harassment (SEA/SH) service provider is an organization offering specific services for SEA/SH survivors, such as health services, psychosocial support, shelter, legal aid, safety/security services, etc.

The survivor-centered approach is based on a set of principles and skills designed to guide professionals—regardless of their role—in their engagement with survivors (predominantly women and girls but also men and boys) who have experienced sexual or other forms of violence. The survivor-centered approach aims to create a supportive environment in which the survivor's interests are respected and prioritized, and in which the survivor is treated with dignity and respect. The approach helps to promote the survivor's recovery and ability to identify and express needs and wishes, as well as to reinforce the survivor's capacity to make decisions about possible interventions.

SEA/SH grievances can be received through any of the available channels and will be considered as "High-profile grievances - that if not resolved promptly may represent significant risks to the environment or community". A list of SEA/SH service providers is available at the RIT's page: <https://canari.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/CEPF-II-GBV-Service-Providers-DR.pdf>.

Additionally, if an incident occurs, it will be reported as appropriate, keeping the anonymity and confidentiality of the complainant and applying the survivor-centered approach. Any cases of SEA/SH brought through the Grantee will be documented but remain closed/sealed to maintain the confidentiality of the survivor. The CEPF will be notified as soon as the designated persons from the Grantee organization learn about the complaint.

If a SEA/SH related incident occurs, it will be reported through the Grantee, as appropriate and keeping the survivor information confidential. Specifically, following steps will be taken once an incident occurs:

#### ACTION 1: COMPLAINT INTAKE AND REFERRAL

If the survivor gives consent, the designated person responsible for dealing with any SEA/SH issues within the Grantee fills in a complaints form, excluding any information that can identify the survivor:

The nature of the allegation (what the complainant says in her/his own words without direct questioning)

If the alleged perpetrator was/is, to the survivor's best knowledge, associated with the project (yes/no)

The survivor's age and/or sex (if disclosed); and,

If the survivor was referred to services

If the survivor does not want to provide written consent, her consent can be verbally received. If needed or desired by the survivor, the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee refers her/him to relevant SEA/SH service providers, identified in the mapping of SEA/SH service providers and according to preestablished and confidential referral procedures. The survivor's consent must be documented even if it is received verbally. The service providers will be able to direct survivors to other service providers in case the survivor wishes to access other services. The designated person responsible for the Grantee will keep the survivor informed about any actions taken by the perpetrator's employer. If the survivor has been referred to the relevant SEA/SH service providers, received adequate assistance, and no longer requires support; and if appropriate actions have been taken against the perpetrator or if the survivor does not wish to submit an official grievance with the employer, the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee can close the case.

#### ACTION 2: INCIDENT REPORTING

The designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for with the Grantee organization needs to report the anonymized SEA/SH incident as soon as it becomes known, to the Executive Director who will in turn inform the CANARI.

Complaint Forms and other detailed information should be filed in a safe location by the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee. Neither the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee nor the Executive Director should seek additional information from the survivor.

SEA/SH incident reporting is not subject to survivors' consent but the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee needs to provide ongoing feedback to the survivor at several points in time: (1) when the grievance is received; (2) when the case is reported to designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee and CEPF; (3) when the verification commences or when a determination is made that there is an insufficient basis to proceed; and (4) when the verification concludes or when any outcomes are achieved or disciplinary action is taken.

As long as the SEA/SH remains open the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee organization and/or Executive Director should update the CEPF on the measures taken to close the incident.

#### ACTION 3: GRIEVANCE VERIFICATION AND INVESTIGATION

Each SEA/SH incident should be verified to determine if it was related to the CEPF-funded project. The designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee should form a SEA/SH verification committee comprised by her/him, one member of the Grantee organization, one member of a local service provider and a representative of the contractor (if relevant). The designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee should notify the SEA/SH Committee of the incident within 24 hours of its creation. The SEA/SH verification committee will consider the SEA/SH allegation to determine the likelihood that the grievance is related to the project.

If after the committee review, SEA/SH allegation is confirmed and it is determined that it is linked to a project, the verification committee discusses appropriate actions to be recommended to the appropriate party—i.e., the employer of the perpetrator, which could be the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee organization or a contractor. The designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee organization will ask contractors to take appropriate action. The committee reports the incident to the perpetrator's employers to implement the remedy/disciplinary action in accordance with local labor legislation, the employment contract of the perpetrator, and their codes of conduct as per the standard procurement documents.

For SEA/SH incidents where the survivor did not consent to an investigation, the appropriate steps should be taken to ensure the survivor is referred to/made aware of available services and that the project mitigation measures are reviewed to determine if they remain adequate and appropriate or if they require strengthening.

If the survivor is interested in seeking redress and wishes to submit an official complaint with the employer, or with entities in SVG legal system, the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee should provide linkages to the relevant institutions. Ensuring due legal process is up to the police and the courts, not the SEA/SH verification committee. Unlike other types of issues, the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee organization does not conduct investigations, make any announcements, or judge the veracity of an allegation.

Any cases of SEA/SH brought through the Grantee will be documented but remain closed/sealed to maintain the confidentiality of the survivor.

The Grantee will also immediately notify both the CEPF and the World Bank of any SEA/SH **complaints WITH THE CONSENT OF THE SURVIVOR.**

A list of GBV service providers for the Dominican Republic be accessed through the Regional Implementation Team's (RIT's) project page at this link: <https://canari.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/CEPF-II-GBV-Service-Providers-DR.pdf> and will be downloaded and kept available by the designated person responsible for SEA/SH issues for the Grantee organization and the Project Manager, along with any Project Coordinators and Social Specialists who may be employed to the project.