CEPF FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Organization Legal Name:	BirdLife International
Project Title:	Convening the CEPF Mid-Term Evaluation Conference for the Polynesia-Micronesia Hotspot
Date of Report:	
Report Author and Contact	
Information	

CEPF Region: Polynesia-Micronesia

Strategic Direction: 2. Improve management of key biodiversity areas

Grant Amount: \$84,795

Project Dates: Feb 1, 2011-Jul 31, 2011

Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each

partner):

Conservation Impacts

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project.

Planned Long-term Impacts - 3+ years (as stated in the approved proposal):

To reduce the risks of extinction to globally threatened species and improve the conservation of key biodiversity areas. This project will help to sustain and grow conservation achievements by non-governmental organisations, community groups and other civil society partners within the Polynesian-Micronesian Biodiversity Hotspot beyond the CEPF 2008-2012 investment

Actual Progress Toward Long-term Impacts at Completion:

Planned Short-term Impacts - 1 to 3 years (as stated in the approved proposal):

Opportunities for grantees to share experiences and lessons learned from their projects. Development of conservation management capacity in the region through the provision of priority training. An evaluation of the success of the Polynesia-Micronesia CEPF investment to date with recommendations as to how the remaining funds can be utilized in the most cost-effective way and for maximum impact. Continued support for the investment among partners, governments and donors.

Actual Progress Toward Short-term Impacts at Completion:

Please provide the following information where relevant:

Hectares Protected:

Species Conserved: Corridors Created:

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

Project Components

Project Components: Please report on results by project component. Reporting should reference specific products/deliverables from the approved project design and other relevant information.

Component 1 Planned: Planning for a successful workshop

Component 1 Actual at Completion:

Component 2 Planned: Host Successful conference.

Component 2 Actual at Completion:

Component 3 Planned: Ensure a high public profile for the conference and ensure that outcomes are widely disseminated.

Component 3 Actual at Completion:

Were any components unrealized? If so, how has this affected the overall impact of the project?

Please describe and submit (electronically if possible) any tools, products, or methodologies that resulted from this project or contributed to the results.

Lessons Learned

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

Additional Funding

Provide details of any additional funding that supported this project and any funding secured for the project, organization, or the region, as a result of the CEPF investment in this project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes			

^{*}Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- A Project co-financing (Other donors or your organization contribute to the direct costs of this project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF funded project.)
- C Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved.

Safeguard Policy Assessment

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

Additional Comments/Recommendations

Information Sharing and CEPF Policy

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

Please include your full contact details below:

Name:

Organization name: Mailing address:

Tel: Fax: E-mail:

If your grant has an end date other than JUNE 30, please complete the tables on the following pages

Performance Tracking Report Addendum

CEPF Global Targets

(Enter Grant Term)

Provide a numerical amount and brief description of the results achieved by your grant.

Please respond to only those questions that are relevant to your project.

Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008. (Attach annexes if necessary)
Did your project strengthen				Please also include name of the protected
management of a protected area				area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
guided by a sustainable				number of flectares strengthened for each one.
management plan? Please indicate				
number of hectares improved.				Discount of the control of the contr
2. How many hectares of new				Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of
and/or expanded protected areas				hectares strengthened for each one.
did your project help establish through a legal declaration or				The state of the s
community agreement?				
Did your project strengthen				
biodiversity conservation and/or				
natural resources management				
inside a key biodiversity area				
identified in the CEPF ecosystem				
profile? If so, please indicate how				
many hectares.				
Did your project effectively				
introduce or strengthen biodiversity				
conservation in management				
practices outside protected areas?				
If so, please indicate how many hectares.				
5. If your project promotes the				
sustainable use of natural				
resources, how many local				
communities accrued tangible				
socioeconomic benefits? Please				
complete Table 1below.				

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table

Table 1. Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities

Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the totals of the Xs for each column.

	Community Characteristics							S	Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit												
				Se			ЭС		Increased Income due to:			able	ter	other ig, c.			ű,	 tal	- 80 90.		
Name of Community	Small landowners	Subsistence economy	Indigenous/ ethnic peoples	Pastoralists/nomadic peoples	Recent migrants	Urban communities	Communities falling below the poverty rate	Other	Adoption of sustainable natural resources management practices	Ecotourism revenues	Park management activities	Payment for environmental services	Increased food security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, hunting, or agricultural practices	More secure access to water resources	mproved tenure in land or other natural resource due to titling, reduction of colonization, etc.	Reduced risk of natural disasters (fires, landslides, flooding, etc)	More secure sources of energy	Increased access to public services, such as education, health, or credit	Improved use of traditional knowledge for environmental management	More participatory decision- making due to strengthened civil society and governance	Other
			_				0 0							I							
																					<u> </u>
														_							
	-																				
Total																					

If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit: