#### **CEPF FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT**

Organization Legal Name:	NGO Institute for Entrepreneurship and Economic							
Organization Legar Name.	Development (IPER)							
Droject Title	Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Zone							
Project Title:	Management Planning (61437)							
Date of Report:	February, 20, 2015.							
<b>Report Author and Contact</b>	Claurice Nikelić (nikelice@t.com.me)							
Information	Slavica Nikolić (nikolics@t-com.me)							

#### **CEPF Region:** Montenegro, municipality of Ulcinj (Delta Bojana)

**Strategic Direction:** Promote civil society involvement in Integrated Coastal Zone Management to minimize the negative effects of coastal development

#### Grant Amount: (US\$) 58550

Project Dates: January, 1, 2013 – December, 31, 2014

# Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

• <u>Project Advisory Board</u>

One of the preparation activities within the first phase of project implementation was to establish the Project Advisory Board. It was consisted of six members: 1 representative of the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism and 4 representatives of local NGOs from Ulcinj: NGO Ulcinj Business Alliance, NGO EcoVita, NGO MogUl, NGO Green Step. NGO representatives were selected based on the Public Call, while the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism was invited to appoint their representative. The role of the Board was to give advice and monitor the implementation process as well as to provide comments and suggestion on analysis and documents stemming from the project. The Board met several times during the project life.

• <u>Cooperation with other NGOs engaged in the area</u>

Besides the previously mentioned, we signed Memorandum of Understanding with two more organizations from the civil sector from Ulcinj: NGO Creative City and NGO Bojana. Also, we made cooperation with NGO Green Home and Centre for Watching and Research of Birds from Podgorica. These two organizations are CEPF grantees as well, and we jointly implemented activities during public events in Ulcinj.

## • <u>Level of involvement of partners during COAST DAY and INFO DAY</u> During these two public events, we carried out several activities with partners.

At the COAST Day, volunteers from NGO UBA, NGO Green Step, NGO Bojana, NGO Creative City were engaged together with IPER staff in preparation and organization of the event. Upon the activities with primary schools (lectures on environment protection issues) member and volunteers of all organizations participated in cleaning of two locations at Long beach and Ada Bojana. In addition, in cooperation with the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism, we jointly organized the press conference in order to promote the activities in Ulcinj and to raise the awareness about the integrated coastal zone management.

At the INFO Day, volunteers of NGO MugUl, NGO EcoVita, NGO UBA, NGO Green Step were engaged at the stand, placed in front of Local Self Government Building. They distributed the promotional materials and shared the information with local population about the project results. In cooperation with NGO Green Home and Centre for Watching and Research of Birds from Podgorica, which are implementing project in Ulcinj area (focus on Šasko lake and Salt Works), we organized two site visits (trips) for children of the primary schools, to Šasko Lake and Salt Works. The activist from NGO Green Home had a short lecture about the old town Svač, its historical value and Šasko lake, as a unique spot, raising the importance of these location for environment protection of Montenegro, as the ecological state. Then, activist from Centre for Watching and Research of Birds took us for a round visit of the Salt Work. Firstly, the children had a lecture at Salt Works Museum and then continued with the visit of Salt Works basins, watching the pelicans and flamingos.

The selected NGOs have participated as well as in the mobility of local people for meetings, focus groups, workshops and awareness raising events.

## • <u>Cooperation with national/ local authorities and public institutions</u>

During the project life, IPER had a series of in-dept interviews with the following: the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism (Directorate for Spatial Planning, Directorate for the Environment Protection and Climate Change, Directorate for Construction, Directorate for Tourist Destination Management), the Ministry of Economy, the Ministry of Transport and Maritime Affairs, the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, PC Coast Zone Management Authority, Agency for the Environment Protection, the Institute for Hydrometeorology and Seismology of Montenegro, Real Estate Directorate, Inspection Directorate, Directorate for Protection of Cultural Heritage etc. At the local level, IPER achieved very good cooperation with the local authorities and public institutions, based on understanding and support, as follows: Secretariat for Economy and Economic Development of Ulcinj, Secretariat for spatial planning and sustainable development of Ulcinj, Secretariat for communal activities and environmental protection of Ulcinj, nursery 'Solidarnost', primary school 'Boško Strugar', primary school 'Maršal Tito', High School Ulcinj.

The last but not the least, IPER had regular meetings with CAMP team<sup>1</sup> and the team from the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism in charge of the development of the Nacional ICZM Strategy. All materials, documents and analysis, stemming from the project, were delivered to the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism and Local Self-Government Ulcinj.

### **Conservation Impacts**

# *Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.*

The project focus was on municipality of Ucinj (Delta Bojana), the river that connects Skadar Lake and the Adriatic Sea. It has a very important ecological role. Besides the river Bojana, the project raised the awareness of the following sensitive areas: Port Milena, Long Beach, Valdanos, Šasko lake, Ulcinj filed, Štoj.

## Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project.

Firstly, the main overall results/impacts of the project are analysis, developed for the first time in Ulcinj within this CEPF project: Situation analysis, Stakeholder Analysis and stakeholder participation plan, SWOT analysis, Sensitivity analysis and impact on environment as well as the research with local population in order to identify the vision and priority areas for development of the Coastal Zone Management Plan for municipality Ulcinj.

What is common for all analysis is the identification and presentation of the current state, threat, weaknesses, opportunities and strengths of Ulcinj development, vulnerable areas in Ulcinj and vision for further improvement.

All contributed to the development of the general picture for this area and will serve as inputs point other policies and plans (CAMP project, National Strategy for ICZM,

Also, it was raised the awareness of the local people about the sensitive spots and the importance of integrated coastal zone management plan in Ulcinj and included diverse age groups in the project activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CAMP (Coastal Area Management Programme) http://www.camp.mrt.gov.me/index.php/o-camp-u

## Planned Long-term Impacts - 3+ years (as stated in the approved proposal):

Engage public and civil society in the process of development and implementation of Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan, through dissemination of information on coastal area values and vulnerabilities and promotion of sustainable development approaches among the general public, capitalizing on the effects of those stakeholders who are already working on awareness raising and coastal area management.

### Actual Progress Toward Long-term Impacts at Completion:

In line with held workshops, focus groups, and in-depth interviews, this topic has been brought to the attention of larger number of stakeholders. Then, ICZM plan proposed the environment protection issue in spatial plans and other spatial documents. Also, ICZM plan pointed out to shortcomings in the current state of coastal zone management and provided recommendations ICZM coordination mechanism development. It will be in charge of implementation and monitoring of the ICZM Action Plan until 2030.

# Planned Short-term Impacts - 1 to 3 years (as stated in the approved proposal):

Bring public and civil society in the development and implementation of Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan in municipality Ulcinj (focus on Delta Bojana), in order to incorporate those actors who are conventionally left outside the decisionmaking progress. Best practices and lessons learned shall be replicable in other coastal municipalities through established cooperation with national (Ministry) and regional authorities (Coastal Management Authority).

#### Actual Progress Toward Short-term Impacts at Completion:

The project identified the sensitive areas in the area of Ulcinj, as well as the main reasons that were the causes to threats of sensitive areas and natural resources in Ulcinj. In addition, the overview of the current state initiates further actions for decision-makers. The project has been a good example how the attitudes should be included of those actors who are conventionally left outside the decision-making progress. Challenges we faced with and lessons learned during the project life could serve for better management in other municipalities of the coastal zone of Montenegro.

Please provide the following information where relevant: / Hectares Protected: / Species Conserved: / Corridors Created: /

*Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.* 

- Including relevant institutions both at the national and local level,
- Poor coordination between institutions and lack of capacities for implementation of ICZM Plan,
- Lack of confidence in the results of the project by local population and therefore, lack of motivation and interest for involvement,
- Lack of the unique data base for coastal zone area,
- Discrepancy of plans and programs both at the national and local level and inadequate understanding and comprehension of the environment concept in them.

## Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

The third component of the project was the tracking of the successful implementation of the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan performed and how effectively the planned activities were monitored and evaluated. At the beginning of the project implementation, it was expected to be adopted at the end of 2013. But, delays in the process of adoption of NS ICZM caused that IPER requested the no-cost extension in order to monitor the adoption of the document. However, the component was not fully carried out because the Strategy was submitted for approval to the Montenegrin Government at the end of 2014. It is important to mention that IPER attended each meeting with the NS ICZM team and CAMP team, to endeavor that environmental concerns for Ulcinj are have been taken into consideration.

### **Project Components**

**Project Components**: Please report on results by project component. Reporting should reference specific products/deliverables from the approved project design and other relevant information.

#### **Component 1 Planned (as stated in the approved proposal):**

Public and civil society provided inputs for SWOT analysis, defining of goal, vision, and kay priority areas, as well as assessment of the environment impact for relevant authorities in charge of development and implementation of Integrated Coastal Zone Management.

### **Component 1 Actual at Completion:**

**1.1.** At the beginning of the project, the Advisory Board was formed, which consisted of six members: 1 representative of Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism, 1 representative from NGO from Albania, and 4 local NGOs (NGO Ucinj Business Association, NGO Green Step, NGO EcoVita, and NGO MogUl). The local NGOs were selected based on the Public Call and motivation letter, while representatives of the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism and NGOs from Albania were selected on the basis of the letter that was sent to their institutions. With all the members of the Advisory Committee, IPER had a constant communication throughout the project, and they were involved in all activities.

<u>Deliverables</u>: Project Advisory Board member list; rules of procedure and meeting minutes.

**1.2.**Within this component, the Situational analysis was carried out for the municipality of Ulcinj. The aim of this study was to explore and present the current situation in the following areas: geographical characteristics, the institutional framework, coastal zone management, socio - economic development indicators, resources and development potential, infrastructure areas, natural risks, a summary of significant investment and cross-border cooperation. In parallel with the development of this analysis, Stakeholder analysis was developed, with the aim to define the various stakeholders in the process of project implementation. This analysis identified the relevant stakeholders, their responsibilities/competencies/skills/activities/objectives and their contacts. For the development of this study, IPER hired a consulting. In cooperation with the project team, this consulting company developed the Stakeholder Participation Plan as well.

<u>Deliverables:</u> Situation analysis; Stakeholder analysis; Stakeholder participation Plan.

**1.3.**The Situation Analysis served to project team as a basis for SWOT analysis development. In order to define Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats of municipality of Ulcinj, it was organized a workshop with key stakeholders identified in the first phase of the project. Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats in the SWOT analysis were presented in the following areas: human resources, environment, economic activities, transport and public infrastructure and strategic and development plans and regulations.

<u>Deliverable:</u> Inputs by workshop participants for SWOT analysis

**1.4** For the study 'Vision and priority areas for development of the Coastal Zone Management Plan in Ulcinj', the following activities were carried out: the quantitative survey, qualitative research, one focus group and one workshop. Quantitative survey was carried out with the aim to examine the opinions of residents about the vision and the identification of priority areas for development in the municipality of Ulcini. In fact, at this stage, the survey included around 300 adult residents from urban and rural areas of Ulcinj. The questionnaire was defined so that it contained the necessary information for the development of a vision and priority areas. The research was done on the basis of specified quotas (by gender, age and type of areas). Qualitative research (in-dept interviews) was carried out with relevant institutions at local and national level, aimed to discuss the vision and priority areas for development of Ulcinj. After the processing of the result and conclusions from the survey, one focus group and one workshop were implemented with the aim to confirm the results obtained within the research and opinions of the participants involved. The focus group was organized with people from rural areas to get familiar with their views and opinions, while the workshop was organized with NGOs and other relevant stakeholders.

Deliverable: Proposal of the vision and priority areas for development.

**1.5** At the end of this component, a focus group was organized with NGO representatives and other relevant stakeholders in order to get insight into the current challenges in terms of sensitive spots of this area and the influence on the environment. On the basis of the inputs obtained from the discussion and focus group, Sensitivity analysis and impact on environment was developed. The analyis was prepared with the aim to present the opinions of the focus group participants about the sensitive areas with the results obtained at the scientific base from CAMP project for Montenegro. An independent consultat was hired for the development of this analysis. In line with the document, project team has prepared a policy brief summarizing the results and conclusions from the analysis.

Deliverable: Policy brief on sensitivity analysis and impact on environment

**Component 2 Planned (as stated in the approved proposal):** 

Raising awareness campaign organized in municipality Ulcinj with focus on importance of sustainable management in the coastal area and ICZM Protocol.

#### **Component 2 Actual at Completion:**

**2.1.** At the beginning of the component, the Awareness rising campaign plan was prepared that defined the public activities. It was developed in a way that at least one public activity would be implemented every month, starting from September 2013 in order to promote sustainable management of the coastal area and ICZM Protocol. The two major activities within this component were the celebration of Coast Day and INFO day event as the final activity. From the COAST day up to the INFO Da, promoters were engaged to visit Ulcinj at least one month, to distribute the promotional material so that local population is get familiar with the project and CAMP project.

## Deliverable: Awareness-raising campaign plan

**2.2.** Activities for the celebration of the COAST DAY were carried out on September, 24, 2013 in Ulcini. That day, a stand with promotional materials was set at the Small beach promenade, so that citizens could take information about the Coast Day and the project "Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Management Zone Planning". Besides, CEED promoters spent the day at various sites in Ulcinj. The first activities was organized with children from kinder garden "Solidarnost". In three different art techniques, children from the kinder garden expressed their ideas at the topic "The coast we deserve". The head and teachers from the kinder garden, as well as the parents helped us in the art colony organization. It lasted for two hours and 50 children participated in it. Within class in two primary schools "Boško Strugar" and "Maršal Tito" from Ulcini, pupils had 3 presentations and giving prizes for the best essay. Namely, IPERteam visited eighth and ninth grades in these two schools and informed pupils about the competition at the topic "Coast/Sea we deserve". The deadline was for essay receiving was September, 20, 2013. CEED team had two presentations. The first presentation was about the importance to preserve the coast and beaches and what people could do to keep the place cleaned and protected. After that, representative from Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism had a presentation. The pupils were familiar with the tradition of the "Coast Day" celebration as well as the activities implemented by the Ministry at the territory of municipality Ulcinj, within the project Coastal Area Management Programme (CAMP Montenegro). Next, on behalf of CEED, Ms. Jovana Stojković greeted the pupils and teachers and introduced them to the project "Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Zone Management Planning" and planned activities for Coast Day within the project. High schools students attended the mentioned presentations as well. Namely, the main focus of those activities was on the young population with the intention to raise their awareness of the values and problems of the coast. Taking into account that all activities were implemented with the aim to preserve the coast, we decided to pay attention to the cleaning of Long Beach and Ada Bojana which are recognized as key locations for tourism development. In cooperation with three NGOs from Ulcinj, NGO Bojana, NGO Green step, NGO Creative city, we have organized the joint activity and gave example how natural resources should be preserved. On September, 25, the press conference was held in Ministry for Sustainable Development and Tourism. On this occasion, the results archived through the Program for Integrated Coastal Zone of Montenegro (CAMP) were presented, which is implemented with the support of UNEP/MAP Montenegro. The project results of "Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Management Coastal Zone Planning"were presented as well.

<u>Deliverables:</u> The Coast day event report; Essay awarded and published on the project website.

**2.3.** Within this component, the project logo was developed. Brochures with relevant information about this project and CAMP project were designed. ICZM Protokol was translated in MNE and published, and distributed to the relevant stakeholders. Also, project team was developed and published Policy paper including the best practices for coastal zone management which were distributed to the relevant stakeholders. The posters, containing information about the COAST DAY and INFO DAY activities were designed and published. Other promotional materials were: T-shirts, caps, eco-notes with pens, two types of eco begs, triangle marker, ect.

<u>Deliverables</u>: logo of the project; copy of the broschure; copy of the publications and posters etc.

**2.4**. INFO DAY was carried out on November, 07, 2014. in Ulcini as a final activity within the project 'Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Zone Management Planning". The aim of the INFO DAY was to raise public awareness about the importance of integrated coastal zone management, to present results, main findings and the best practices in area of coast zone management. The stand with promotional materials was set in front of local self-government building Ulcini, so that citizens could take information about the activities on INFO DAY and the project "Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Management Zone Planning". The final conference was held in local self-government building in Ulcinj. Within this activity, the representatives of IPER presented main findings and results within the project 'Engaging Civil Society in Integrated Coastal Zone Management Planning'. They also spoke about shortcomings of the current management system on one side and integrated coastal zone management system on the other side. Besides representatives of IPER, the following speakers had presentations: Ulcinj Business Alliance and Green Step which presented findings and results of BojanaTour project, then representative of Green Home, that presented main results and findings of the project Šaskog lake, while representatives of MogUl had a presentation about Valdanos as the example of good practise from the aspect of sustainable development and environment protection. At end of the conference, IPER representatives thanked to all who supported the project and participated actively in its implementation. Art Colony was held in kinder garden 'Solidarnost' in Ulcinj.

In different art techniques, the children expressed their creativity how they saw the municipality, the coast, the sea. The Art Colony was attended by 20 children. Also, teachers helped in organization along with IPER representatives, head of the kinder garden and parents. After two-hour workshop, the representatives of IPER selected the best papers. IPER implemented a quiz in primary school 'Maršal Tito' There were two groups: Pelicans (three pupils from seventh grade of primary school 'Maršal Tito' and Flamingos (three pupils of seventh grade of the primary school 'Boško Strugar'. The rest of the pupils from the seventh grade from both schools with their professors were the audience. The quiz was organized in order to examine the knowledge of primary school children about the environment protection in Ulcinj. At the end of the quiz, members of the both groups received the symbolic presents. After quiz at the school, the pupils of seventh grade of two primary schools 'Maršal Tito' and 'Boško Strugar', the study visit was organized to Ulcinj Salt works 'Bajo Sekulić', old town Svač and Šasko lake. Aleksandar Radunović from Centre for Protection of Birds spike about importance of Ulcinj Saltworks, while Azra Vuković, from Green Home, spoke about the historic value of old town Svač and Šasko lake.

Deliverable: INFO day report.

2.5. The project web page was designed, with the aim to inform all stakeholder about the project and activities implemented. The web page provides all relevant materials produced during project life. Project web page is linked to the web site of the CAMP project, IPER site, CEPF site, the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism site, site of PC Coast Zone Management Authority. So far, it has had more than 35.000 reviews.

<u>Deliverable</u>: The link to the project web page: http://visit-ceed.org.me/civilsociety-iczm/.

## Component 3 Planned (as stated in the approved proposal):

Tracking of the implementation of the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan performed.

**3.1** Within this component, it was planned to assess how effectively the planned activities and outputs were monitored and evaluated. However, due to the delay with the adoption the National National ICZM Strategy, the component could not be fully implemented. The project team actively participated at all workshops and meetings organized within participatory process for the development of ICZM and made cooperation with the team/working group engaged for the drafting of the Strategy. The project team provided comments on all documents and reports stemming from the working group. In addition, IPER provided comments on Spatial Urban Plan of Ulcinj, so to introduce the plan developers with the analysis and documents which would contribute to the plan significantly.

**3.2** Then, during 2014, the project team was doing media monitoring and press clipping related to the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan. The activity included newspapers articles, on line articles found on various portals, TV shows etc.

**3.3** Finally, within this component, the project team members together with local NGOs visited sensitive areas in Ulcinj and prepared a short site visits' report of the current state (Valdanos, Port Milena, Long Beach, Bojana, Šasko lake,Ulcinj field).

<u>Deliverable:</u> Review of the adopted ICZM plan to assess the extent civil sector inputs were taken into consideration; Tracking report for NS ICZM; Site visits' report.

## *Were any components unrealized? If so, how has this affected the overall impact of the project?*

Despite to the delay of the NS ICZM adoption and unsatisfactory level of the completion of the component 3, IPER team performed the monitoring of the adoption of the NS ICZM and actively participated at all development phases. It did not significantly affect the project, because the primary aim was to get familiar the stakeholders with the importance of sustainable management in the coastal area and the ICZM Protocol.

Please describe and submit (electronically if possible) any tools, products, or methodologies that resulted from this project or contributed to the results.

- 1. Situation analysis
- 2. Stakeholder analysis
- 3. Stakeholder participation plan
- 4. SWOT analysis

- 5. Research for identify vision and priority areas for development of the Coastal Zone Management Plan in Ulcinj
- 6. Sensitivity analysis and impact on environment (map included)
- 7. Policy brief on sensitivity analysis and impact on environment
- 8. Awareness raising campaign plan
- 9. The Coast Day event report
- 10. Protocol ICZM
- 11. Policy paper about best practices for coastal zone management
- 12. Info day report
- 13. Review of adopted ICZM plan to assess to extend civil sector inputs were taken into consideration
- 14. Tracking report of the implementation of the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan

### **Lessons Learned**

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

*Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)* 

- Local partnerships are very important as local NGOs do not have sufficient capacities to operate individually.
- Local NGOs have to invest more efforts in the promotion of the concept of environment protection.
- The population is not sufficiently aware that the environment can be preserved and at the same time to achieve and economic benefits.

## **Project Implementation:** (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

- It is necessary to move from the public campaign to practical analysis and case studies.
- The environment concept should be more popularized among all age groups.
- Local population should be included in all decisions related to the space in which they live, because they are the most familiar with the local problems.
- It is necessary to constantly monitor the process and ensure the involvement of local communities.
- To introduce case studies for each zone / projects as a basis for decisionmaking: cost-benefit analysis.

#### Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

- The coastal zone is the integrated area, so it is very difficult to single out one or two municipalities, because the problems are common and require broader actions.
- Spatial urban plans and other planning documents should pay more attention to the environment concept and its preservation.
- Starting from the fact that there are no penalties for endangering of the environment, or they are all reduced to the minimum, and there are no sufficient lectures on the importance of environment protection (for all age groups), the local community has no strong awareness about it.

#### **Additional Funding**

Provide details of any additional funding that supported this project and any funding secured for the project, organization, or the region, as a result of the CEPF investment in this project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes
MAP (PAP/RAC)	В	US\$ 12.846	
UNDP	В	US\$ 25.694	
IPER	Α	US\$ 4.060	(Methodologies salary; salary of training coordinator engaged during 6 months; for volunteers who engaged for distribution of awareness raising materials during the campaign)

\*Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- *A* Project co-financing (Other donors or your organization contribute to the direct costs of this project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF funded project.)
- **C** Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

## Sustainability/Replicability

## Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

ICZM Protocol and ICZM Plan shall be taken into account when adopting and creating spatial plans (the Special Purpose Spatial Plan for the coastal zone is in the process of development and it will be compatible with ICZM policies). Also, Spatial Urban Plan for Ulcinj is in process of drafting and it will be in line with the Special Purpose Spatial Plan for the coastal zone as a document of a higher order. The success is that the former practice of adoption of documents and plans without prior harmonization with the plans and strategies at the national level will finally cease. In addition, it has been achieved that policy/law/strategy makers should take into consideration also consider the protection of the environment when drafting strategic documents, which was not the case earlier.

#### Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved.

Not applicable.

#### **Safeguard Policy Assessment**

## Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

In this context, it should be mentioned that the *Sensitivity analysis and impact on the environment along with the mapping of key issues of environment protection in the coastal zone* could present a starting point for any other policy related to the municipality of Ulcinj. It identified the vulnerable spots and provided recommendation for prevention of further endangering of the area. These spots deserve special attention and treatment within the developing of Ulcinj and achieving of economic benefit.

#### **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

The recommendation is to provide funds for the project which would influence the amendment of the legislation for the area. All studies and analysis are completely useless unless the legislation is adjusted to protect the area concerned.

## **Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

### Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Slavica Nikolić Organization name: NGO Institute for Entrepreneurship and Economic Development (IPER) Mailing address: iper@t-com.me Tel: +382 20 622 931 Fax: +382 20 622 931 E-mail: nikolics@t-com.me

# \*\*\*If your grant has an end date other than JUNE 30, please complete the tables on the following pages\*\*\*

Perfor	nance Tra	cking Repor	rt Addendu	ım
	(	CEPF Global	Targets	
		(Enter Gran	t Term)	
				results achieved by your grant. relevant to your project.
Project Results	Is this questio n relevan t?	If yes, provide your numerica l response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numeri cal respon se for project from incepti on of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from July 1, 2013 to May 30, 2014. (Attach annexes if necessary)
1. Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.	No.			Please also include name of the protected area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
2. How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?	No.			Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
3. Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	Yes.			The area covers municipality Ulcinj (Bojana-Delta) area of 25.500ha.
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	Yes.			The Advisory Board has included one NGOs from Albania.

5. If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits? Please complete Table below.	Yes			All local communities form municipality Ulcinj are included in way that they are regularly informed about importance of ICZM (information dissemination).
---	-----	--	--	---

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table

#### Table 1. Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities

Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the totals of the Xs for each column.

	Co	Community Characteristics						s Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit																
Name of Community	Small landowners	<u> </u>	Indigenous/ ethnic	Pastoralists/nomadic	Kecent migrants	Urban communities	Communities falling below the poverty rate	0ther	natural resources	в	revenues management	[[		security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, bunting or	More secure access to	or other natural resource due to titling,	requedion of natural disasters	fires, landslides,	More secure sources	of energy	public services, such as education, health,	traditional knowledge for environmental	decision-making due to strengthened civil	Other
Municipality Ulcinj	X	x	x			X			Х													Х	X	X
																	-							
																	_							

Total	1	1	1			1			1								1	1	1
If you marked "Other", please	If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit:																		
Raising the awareness about the quality, sustainable management and planning, which would protect the environment the best and use natural																			
resources, and at the same time	resources, and at the same time to satisfy economic goals of the local population. It would be measures in the long time period.																		