CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Organization Legal Name:	Green Innovation and Development Centre (GreenID)
Project Title:	Strengthening good governance for hydropower dams development on the Mekong mainstream, with a particular focus on Mekong Delta, Vietnam
Date of Report:	21/10/2013
Report Author and Contact Information	Hoang Thanh Binh – Policy advocacy coordinator Email:binh@greenidvietnam.org.vn Tel: (+84) 908600287

CEPF Region: Indo-Burma

Strategic Direction: The project is strongly seeking to address the hydropower development in the lower Mekong basin. In this light, the project is the best fit with CEPF's Investment Priority 3.1 (Support civil society efforts to analyze development policies, plans and programs, evaluate their impact on biodiversity and ecosystem services, and propose alternative development scenarios and appropriate mitigating measures.)

Grant Amount: US\$ 19,996

Project Dates: 01/11/2012-31/10/2013

Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

- 1. Vietnam Union of Science and Technology Associations (VUSTA): Being the GreenID's umbrella for all registrations of project. Moreover, co-chair of the workshop "Legal aspects in the cooperation of managing the Mekong River basin";
- 2. Can Tho University: Helping to identify the stakeholders for the workshop and organize the student seminar
- 3. Southeast Steering Committee: Being the co-chair of the workshop.
- 4. VRN and Mekong experts based in the Mekong delta: The Mekong experts played a crucial role in reviewing PNPCA and other legal documents.
- 5. Ca Mau Women's Union: Being the main partner to organize the training on *""Hydropower impacts on the Mekong Delta people's livelihood under climate change"* in Ca Mau for grass-root women.

Conservation Impacts

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.

N/A

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal.

ProductsPlannedActual implementingImpacts

	implementing process	process	
Formulation of Mekong Delta's think tank group	Mekong Delta's think tank group formed and lasted as a foundation for further meaningful CSOs' engagement in development issues related to the Delta	 One Mekong Delta's think tank group (which are going to play a proactive role in implementing activities under this project) under the coordination of GreenID was formed. GreenID plays active coordination role to support for this group. GreenID staffs held separated meetings to meet and exchange with think-tank members. Particularly, we knew that Delta Conference 2013 in HCM city with a focus on sustainable development in Mekong Delta, was held in May. We thought it was a good chance for us to discuss about Mekong issues. That's why we took this chance to meet and talk over with some experts (such as Dr Ni, Mr Vinh) in HCM city. Moreover, we held the 2 meetings with the participation of experts in Can Tho City to give the draft of action plan in the upcoming time. In addition, GreenID, VRN and Save the Mekong Coalition coorganized the public forum: "The future of the Mekong river: People's concerns on hydropower development" in An Giang from 31 July, 2013. In this forum, GreenID took the 	- Watch dog next PNPCA process related to other hydropower dams in the Mekong River, particularly Don Sahong which Lao is likely to construct in November. - GreenID, think- tank members and Saving Mekong Coalition keep the track on Don Sahong dam' situation like reviewing the Don Sahong's Environmental Impact Assessment. This facilitates on- time intervention of international and domestic organizations who are devoted to protect the sustainable Mekong river.

responsibility to invite
the think-tank members
to be the panelists.
to be the pullensts.
➢ Actually, this group
was formally formed in
December 2012 and
exchanged via emails
and telephone. The
direct meeting was held
on 28 May 2013 in Can
-
Tho City.
\succ The think tank group
comprises 7 delta-based
people plus two
Mekong experts from
the North. This group
has 3 members less
than original plan. The
reason is that this group
operates in a voluntary
manner and some
people were
disappointed when the
Xayabury project
started its construction.
➢ 7 Mekong delta-based
experts in the group
was gathered including:
1. Mr Nguyen Huu Thien-
Independent expert;
2. Dr Le Anh Tuan-Vice
Director of DRAGON
institute, Can Tho
University (CTU);
3. Nguyen Minh Thong-
Mekong Net Coordinator
4. Huynh Thi Kim Duyen-
Women Union in Ca Mau;
5. Ky Quang Vinh- Cantho
Climate change
Coordination Office;
6. Duong Van Ni-CTU,
7. Le Phat Quoi- Head of
Natural Resources Division
Institute for Environment
and Natural Resources
(EIR), National University
at HCM City

hydropower expert such	An English report and factsheet of the result of reviewing PNPCA	Reviewed by the think tank group; the output from this research will be used in the multi- stakeholder dialogue to deliver our findings and recommendations for relevant government agencies	 The role of this group in this project: Reviewing the PNPCA applied to Xayaburi case to identify the current gaps; Drafting the action plan for this group to engage in development issues related to the Delta such as watch dog next PNPCA process related to other hydropower dams in the Mekong River, particularly Don Sahong which Lao is planning to construct. The think tank group has reviewed the PNPCA. The primary findings were used in the multi-stakeholder workshop. In addition, PNPCA applied to Xayaburi case was reviewed by think tank members, experts and PNPCA's recommendation making by the think- tank reached to decision-makers, related agencies including Vietnam National Mekong River Committee, Ministry of Security, and South West Steering Committee. The draft of reviewing PNPCA was commented by some hydropower expert such 	 Find out the shortcoming in PNPCA implementation for the case of Xayabouri The result of reviewing PNCPA is the basis for applying Don Sahong's PNPCA in the upcoming time. Spread the PNPCA related information to a variety of stakeholders
------------------------	---	---	--	---

			and Tran Dinh Sinh]
		-	The factsheet t about PNPCA is made and delivered to relevant stakeholders through GreenID's and international workshops/meetings.		
A reviewing report on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of Don Sahong dam	 Not planned in the first place. However, during project implementation from last June to now, Lao notified Mekong River Commission and members of the Commission of the plan to build the second dam on Mekong mainstream- Don Sahong dam with the capacity of 260 MW in the south of Lao. 	-	This review is done by environmental experts who have many experienced in hydropower projects. Relevant information about Don Sahong has been provided by Vietnam National Mekong Committee.	-	The result of reviewing is shared and used amongst international and domestic organizations. This is of great help in careful study before construction of the Don Sahong dam.
An action plan for think- tank group	1 action plan	-	Building the action plan to watch dog all relevant issues in Vietnamese Mekong Delta such 3 crop rice; PNPCA and other relevant issues	-	An action plan for think tank group in the activities of protect the future sustainable development of the Mekong Delta given more participatory and critical decision- making processes In fact, this group has cooperated with GreenID to

			provide inputs
			for our joint
			effort with Save
			the Mekong
			Coalition in
			preparing a
			number of press
			releases and
			letters submitted
			to MRCs and
			Mekong
			countries
			Government
			- Two concept
			notes to support
			the
			implementation
			of action plan
			have been sent
			out to secure
			funding but not
			success
A report on the student	- GreenID staff and	- The student seminar	Provide a better
seminar's	think-tank group	"Effects of hydropower	understanding of
results	will support active	development on	hydropower impacts
	Mekong Delta	Mekong river on socio-	in terms of social-
	lawyers to organize	economy, environment	economic and
	a first small seminar	and livelihoods of the	environment to
	on Mekong dam	Vietnamese Mekong	future lawyers in
	issues for interested	Delta's people" was	Can Tho University.
	law school's	held on 29 May 2013	In addition, through
	students in Can Tho	with the aim of	the talk-show with
	and help them to	strengthening students'	Mekong think tank
	secure more funding	understanding about	members, those
	to spread this work	hydropower issues on	students had a
	for others law	Mekong River and	chance to discuss
	school's students in	giving the chance to 30	further about
	the Mekong Delta	CTU's student in order	impacts of
	on the hydropower	to discuss the	hydropower on
	impacts to the	hydropower issues	Mekong
	Mekong delta and	which they have not yet	mainstream;
	call for action of	updated or still	country's rights and
	future lawyers as a	ambiguous before that	obligations in regard
	responsible citizen.		to Mekong River
	- Organize the student		basin cooperation.

	seminar with		
	participation of 30		
	students in Can Tho		
	University		
	- Organize one day	- The workshop "Legal	
A resulting	workshop with	aspects in the cooperation	
report of the	participation of 40	of managing the Mekong	
workshop	participants of 40	River basin" was held on	
Ĩ	participants		
		30 May 2013 with the	
		participation of 60	
		participants representing	
		different stakeholders such	
		as government officials	
		from MONRE, MOFA,	
		Vietnam National Mekong	
		Committee, VUSTA,	
		South-West Steering	
		Committee, Department of	
		Irrigation and Flood	
		prevention; Mekong	
		experts; lawyers; lecturers	
		from Mekong Delta based	
		universities; WWF	
		Vietnam; and journalists	
		etc.	
		- The workshop content	
		was shortened to fit to the	
		available time of facilitators	
		from VUSTA and South-	
		West Steering Committee.	
		They could not participate	
		for the whole day, so we	
		have to start earlier in the	
		morning and finished late	
		after lunch time.	
		- Moreover, the workshop	
		was co-organized by Center	
		for sustainable water	
		resources development and	
		adaptation to climate	
		change (CEWAREC). This	
		organization partly funded	
		for the workshop	
		organization.	
		organization.	
A report on the	- No planned in the	Holding the training on	The reason for this
training of	proposal.	"Hydropower impacts on	change is that we
"Hydropower	* *	the Mekong Delta people's	would like to
impacts on the		livelihood under climate	strengthen the
Mekong Delta			•
merong Delta		change" in 2 communes:	accessibility of

	r		I
people's		Rach Cheo and Tan Hai in	Mekong hydropower
livelihood		Ca Mau from November 4-	issues to
under climate		5, 2013 with the	stakeholders at the
change"		cooperation of Ca Mau	grass-root level. It is
		Women Union. The	said that people at
		participants in this training	the grass-root level
		mainly were women and	e e
		communes' government	
		_	information
		persons in Tan Hai and 40	regarding to
		in Rach Cheo	hydropower issues
			on Mekong
			mainstream. The
			training was really a
			good chance for
			C
			them to find out the
			possible effects of
			hydropower
			development on
			Mekong mainstream
			on their livelihood.

Please provide the following information where relevant:

Hectares Protected: N/A Species Conserved: N/A Corridors Created: N/A

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

Overall success of the project			
 Implementing the project activities on-time and achieved some expected results High flexibility about project activities and main events relating to Mekong mainstream's hydropower 			
Activities	Success	Challenges	
Formulating the think-tank group	 Called for the participation of 7 Mekong delta based think tank members Maintain regular exchange and discussion among the group via email, phone Strong commitment of 5 core members in the group with the Mekong issues 		
Organized the student	In this seminar, students had a		

University on 28 May 2013 One workshop was held on	chance to exchange the problems relating to effects of hydropower dams on Mekong River, specifically Vietnamese Mekong Delta. The student seminar "Effects of hydropower development on Mekong river on socio-economy, environment and livelihoods of the Vietnamese Mekong Delta's people" was held on 29 May 2013 with the aim of strengthening students' understanding about hydropower issues on Mekong River and giving the chance to 30 CTU's student in order to discuss the hydropower issues which they have not yet updated or still ambiguous before that. The workshop "Legal aspects in the cooperation of managing the	For organization of workshop, there were a lot of sudden changes.
participation of different stakeholders to discuss about the legal aspects regarding to hydropower development on Mekong river, specifically the process of Notification,	Mekong River basin" was held on 30 May 2013 with the participation of 60 participants representing different stakeholders such as government officials from	In particular, the main partner in organizing this workshop which is DRAGON institute could not take the responsibility to organize this workshop regarding to the sensitive problem (Hydropower dam in Mekong area) when there was a foreign participant. The reason was that they required a document sent to Foreign Affair to register for organization. However, the procedure would take 20 days, so we didn't have enough time to do that. To solve that, we invited Vietnam Union of Science and Technology Associations (VUSTA) and South-West Steering Committee to be co-organisers of this workshop to avoid the complicated registration procedure and also have them involved in the project. The lesson we learnt was that we always made a careful preparation when working on this sensitive problem like hydropower issue in Mekong Delta.

Holding the training on "Hydropower impacts on the Mekong Delta people's livelihood under climate change" with the cooperation of Ca Mau Women Union	effects on the locals' livelihood under climate change impacts. In this training, we also show	
	Thanks to good cooperation with the partner 'Women Union', we invited quite a number of local people and hold it in a successful way.	
Reviewing PNPCA applied to Xayabouri case	The findings of reviewing are seen as lessons learnt for considering the effects of PNPCA application to the next dam on Mekong mainstream	
Watching dog next PNPCA process related to Don Sahong dam	According to Government of Lao (GoL), Don Sahong dam is located on the Mekong tributary, not on Mekong mainstream. That is why GoL asserted that implementing PNPCA process before Don Sahong dam's construction is not necessary. However, international organizations as well as domestic HP experts are against with GoL's perspectives. International organizations as well as Vietnam government want GoL to show this dam's EIA and request GoL to implement PNPCA process for Don Sahong dam.	 Limited public disclosure about Don information about Don Sahong 's dam Poor facilitation of MRCs

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

- We brought interested students together in the student seminar and find more opportunities

for them to engage in different activities of GreenID such as "Green Empowering 2013" or the opportunity to participate in the "Mekong Peach Journey 2013".

- Concerning to the content of the workshop, PNPCA is the new process which was just applied to the first case – Xayaburi. Not many people really have known this process. This was the first workshop talking over the legal aspects in the cooperation between Lower Mekong countries. That's why some participants in the workshop "Legal aspects in the cooperation of managing the Mekong River basin" still have a lot of unambiguous points and questions. Nevertheless, the workshop brought different stakeholders together to discuss the topical issue. The participation of headers of Vietnam National Mekong Committee (VNMC) and Southeast Steering Committee created one great chance for further cooperation in keeping the track on hydropower issues on Mekong mainstream.
- It is said that the hydropower issues on Mekong mainstream, especially its effects on the social-economic and livelihood seems to be quite strange and ambiguous for the local people at grass-root level due to limited accessibility. Therefore, one more positive impact worth mentioning is that stakeholders at grass-root level (i.e Ca Mau women) can approach hydropower issues.

Lessons Learned

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

The most important lesson is that the possible risks in project design and implementation process. The risk was that we could not hold that workshop as planned in March, 2011. This project implemented in the sensitive and quite complex context because it focused on the case of Xayaburi case. The Xayaburi case still went ahead regardless of international organizations' protest (e.g. International River) after this project was approved and started implementing for 1 month. That changed our project design because during December to March, discussing about Xayaburi dam was very sensitive when the Government of Vietnam kept strict control of media in reporting on this issue. The Mekong mainstream hydropower issue has become more sensitive and topicality than before as it is now related to politic issue and diplomatic relationships of Vietnam with its neighbors. This caused some difficulties in connecting Mekong Delta people; discourage the energy of the think-tank and some delay in workshop organization.

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

- Identify the most active experts who really interested in the hydropower issues in Mekong Delta and then thanks to him, we can connect more and call for participation of the other Mekong experts in a voluntary way;
- Selecting and identifying stakeholders who are interested in hydropower issue and have the important role in Mekong hydropower related issues such as VNMC, competent agencies, universities and research institutes etc. For the important stakeholders such as VNMC or Southeast Steering Committee, we had to make the workshop organization fit with their schedule. What is more, there needed to be one prior discussion about the workshop content with them.

- Connecting with the local partners such as Can Tho University's Youth Union for the student seminar 's preparation;
- Keeping regularly updated information and exchange with the core-group, key stakeholders on the Mekong dams issues.

Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

- For organization of workshop, there were a lot of sudden changes. In particular, the main partner in organizing this workshop which is DRAGON institute could not take the responsibility to organize this workshop regarding to the sensitive problem (Hydropower dam in Mekong area) when there was a foreign participant. The reason was that they required a document sent to Foreign Affair to register for organization. However, the procedure would take 20 days, so we didn't have enough time to do that. To solve that, we invited Vietnam Union of Science and Technology Associations (VUSTA) and South-West Steering Committee to be co-organisers of this workshop to avoid the complicated registration procedure and also have them involved in the project. The lesson we learnt was that we always made a careful preparation when working on this sensitive problem like hydropower issue in Mekong Delta. In general, the activities in the project were done as planned. However, during project implementation, although think tank group members were active to participate in halting its construction, it still went ahead. In addition, due to the construction of sensitive Xayaburi hydropower dam, information on media was blocked. Some think-tank members were not eager to participate in the group work so we sometime faced difficulty in persuade think-tank members to move forwards in implementing action plan.
- For the student seminar, we found that the students in Can Tho University were very active in raising the questions to experts. There were still a lot of questions which were not yet answered during student seminar. From that, we hope that we will organize such seminars more and more for students later on.
- Regarding the communication with the local people (particularly in Ca Mau), the language barrier is one of the difficulties we should consider when implementing the project at the communities. Thus, it is better if we have the local representatives or partners to facilitate the workshop/trainings. For the preparatory works, we should cooperate with the local partner because they have a good understanding about the locality as well as locals' habit, and thereby the training organization would be better.

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community: N/A

ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes
Mekong Legal	Project co-financing	US\$ 1,730	- Hold the short training
Network			course on Mekong
			related legal issues for

			young lawyers from the Delta joining
CEWAREC	Project co-financing	US\$1,220.9	- Co-organizing the workshop on 'Legal aspects in the cooperation of managing the Mekong River basin';

*Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- **A** Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)
- **C** Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

- Results of reviewing PNPCA have been disseminated through the workshop and media, promoting the legal aspects of the issue. In the workshop, Mekong Agreement 1995, United Nations Convention on the Law of the water use in 1997(*UNWC 1997*) or International Laws were introduced with the hope of promoting the people's greater awareness on the hydropower issues.
- Project results have been shared with international NGOs and networks (VRN, WWF); local agencies (Southeast Steering Committee); VNMC to extend collaborative efforts.
- Key findings such as the results of workshop have been released on GREENID's website.
- Our engagement into the process of PNPCA and review impact studies at national and regional level contributes to protect the Mekong River when Lao is planning to build the second dam. The think-tank group will act as core player to expand this body into an alliance or community network by engaging more and more people in their work. By sending capable Delta future lawyers to the Mekong legal network's training, the project can contribute to the development of public interest related to the Mekong dam issues among Mekong Delta future lawyer community.

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved. N/A

Safeguard Policy Assessment

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project. N/A

Performa	ance Tra	cking Repo	ort Adden	dum
	C	EPF Globa	Targets	
	amount and		ion of the re	1) esults achieved by your grant. levant to your project.
Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014. (Attach annexes if necessary)
1. Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.	No			Please also include name of the protected area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
2. How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?	No			Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
3. Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
5. If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits? Please complete Table 1below.	Yes			

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table.

Name of CommunityCommunityNormalityNorma								totals	of the 2	Xs for (each c	olumn										
m u di isis ec isis ec bit ec	Name of Community	Comm	nunity C	Characte	eristics									Natu	re of Sc	cioeco	nomic E	Benefit				
province		m all L a n d o w n er	u bs ist e nc e ec o n o m	di g e n o us / et h ni c p e o pl	as to ra lis ts / n o m a di c p e o pl	ec e nt mi gr a nt	b a n co m m u nit ie	o m m u nit ie s fal lin g b el o w th e p ov er ty ra	h	A d o pti o n of su st ai n a bl e n at ur al re so ur c e s m a n g e m e n ti c f su st i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	E co to ur is m re ve n u	P ar k m a n a g e m e nt ac tiv iti	P ay m e nt fo r e nv ir o n m e nt al se rvi ce	cr e as e d fo o d se cu rit y d u e to th e a d o pti o n of sut ai n a bl e fishin g, h	or e se cu re ac ce ss to w at er re so ur ce	m pr ov e d te n ur e in la n d or t h e n a tr a la n d or t h e n tr a la n d or t h e n tr a la n d or t h e n tr a la n d or t h e n tr a la n d or t i n o t h e t i n o t n o t h e n o t i n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t n o t o t	e d uc e d ris k of n at ur al di sa t e s (fie s, la n s lid e , flo o di n s t e d ris k of n at u al di s t flo o di s t s t flo o di s t flo o di s t flo o di s t flo o di s t flo o di s t flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo o di s flo di s f flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di s flo di flo di s flo di s flo di s f di s f di s f flo di s f di s f s f s f f f s f f s f s f s f f flo di s f f f f s f f f f f f f f s f f f s f	or e se cu re so ur ce of e n er	cr e as e d access to p u bli c se rvi ce s, su ch as e d u ci to p u bli c se rvi ce s, su ch ac e s to p u bli c ce s s to p u c e s to p n, h c e c e s s to p n, h c e c e s to to n, h c e c e s s to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to n, h c e s s to to s s to to s s to to to s to to to to s to to to to to to to to to to to to s to to to to to to to to to to to to to	m pr ov e d us e of tr a dit io n al kn o wl e d g e fo r e n m e nt al m a n a g	or e partic ipt or y d ecc isi o n mak in g d u e to st r e n g h e d cill i v y d ecc isi o n so r v y d ecc isi o n so r v y d ecc isi o so v v v d ecc isi o so v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	he
Tân Hải- Cà Mau province Image: Calification of the state of th				x				x							x					x	x	
	Tân Hải- Cà Mau province														x					x	x	

 Table 1: Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities

 Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the

]											
Total		1			1					2			2	2	
If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit:															

Additional Comments/Recommendations

N/A

Information Sharing and CEPF Policy

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Hoang Thanh Binh Organization name: Green Innovation and Development Centre Mailing address: Suite 1504, Tower B, 173 Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Ha Noi Tel: (+84) 908600287 Fax: E-mail: binh@greenidvietnam.org.vn