CRITICAL ECOSYSTEM

# **CEPF Final Project Completion Report**

Organization Legal Name:	University Of The South Pacific
Project Title:	Developing Conservation Champions: Community- Based Conservation Management Course
Grant Number:	65819
CEPF Region:	East Melanesian Islands
	4 Increase local, national and regional capacity to
Strategic Direction:	conserve biodiversity through catalyzing civil society
	partnerships
Grant Amount:	\$154,933.00
Project Dates:	October 01, 2015 - June 30, 2017
Date of Report:	March 07, 2018

#### **Implementation Partners**

List each partner and explain how they were involved in the project

 University of the South Pacific (USP) was the grant applicant and provided overall coordination and management of the project. USP recruited trainers from BirdLife International and FLMMA to deliver certain componets of the Phase 1 training (see below). Other USP staff also delivered the Biodiversity Data collection and Conservation Planning components of the Phase 1 training.
BirdLife International - provided training on the following topics: Resource Management Planning and Monitoring, Socioeconomic situations analysis

3. Fiji Locally Mangaed Marine Areas (FLMMA) - provided training on the following topics:

- the principles of participation and the basis of prevailing Community Conservation Areas in the South Pacific
- the tools available for implementing participatory projects
- processes of Community Based Adaptive Management and relevance to different national settings
- the role of traditional governance and tenure in designing appropriate government and nongovernment

4. Solomon Islands Community Conservation Partnership (SICCP) was the in-country partner responsible for logistical planning (travel, venues, materials etc), and in-country project managment and support.

## **Conservation Impacts**

Summarize the overall impact of your project, describing how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile

Discussions with participants has given the impression that, as in previous PICCCs that they have new knowledge and confidence that they will put to use at their work sites. The partnering of USP with SICCP has allowed skills also to be passed on the SICCP and it is hoped in the near future that SICCP would reprise the course as main facilitator with some USP assistance.

Planned Long-term Impacts - 3+ years (as stated in the approved proposal)

Impact Description	Impact Summary
1 To build and improve the capacity of conservation practitioners, including community representatives, government technical officers, NGO technical officers and training personnel, in the management of community-based conservation projects for the sustainable conservation and management of natural resources.	The participants in the course did represent a wide range of stakeholders, and their management capacity in the area of community-based conservation projects as significantly strengthened in the curse of the PICCC.
2 To develop critical thinking for achieving widespread natural resource management and sustainable community livelihoods in Pacific Island countries.	Critical thinking was a key skill that the workshop facilitators made sure to incorporate into the training sessions. Discussions with the participants and the review of their projects demonstrated that they had successfully applied their professional knowledge and skills to analyze and interpret conservation issues, implement planning and evaluation and had the ability to self-assess and reflect.

Planned Short-term Impacts - 1 to 3 years (as stated in the approved proposal)

Impact Description	Impact Summary
1 By the end of the course participants will	Discussions with participants, and their responses to
have the confidence to apply personal	the course evaluation survey has demonstrated that
professional skills to benefit community	they have new knowledge and confidence that they put
activities.	to use at their work sites.
2 By the end of the course participants will	Community participation and planning skills were a core
be confident in their abilities to initiate	component of the training course. Students were
and develop community participation and	trained in the Participatory Learning and Action toolkit,
planning skills.	and how to effectively use it. Responses to the course
	evaluation survey showed that these tools were ones
	that students found especially useful.
3 By the end of the course participants will	Students were given training on a variety of governance
understand and identify key issues	issues, including the role of traditional governance and
regarding the implications of governance	tenure in designing appropriate government and non-
when developing or supporting	government support to communities.
community benefits.	
4 By the end of the course participants will	One of the students carried out an assessment of

have developed the ability to critically	conservation projects as part of their Phase 2 work -
assess community projects and identify	analysing mangrove conservation projects in an area of
future opportunities.	new Island Province (PNG). Another student applied
	critical assessment to developing a capacity
	development plan to ensure appropriate capacity levels
	on environmental issues for the Solomon Islands
	Environmental Law Association members.
5 By the end of the course participants will	In the 3rd week of Phase 1, participants were given
understand, and develop the capacity to	training on management planning, including how to
initiate and develop strategic planning	create a management plan and the types of information
	necessary for effective management planning. Several
	students projects that they worked on during Phase 2
	were to do with the development of Environmental
	Management Plans - for the Lake Letas Community
	Conservation Area (Vanuatu), the Dravail Community
	Conservation Area (Vanuatu), the Tawatawa
	Conservation Area (Solomon Is), Chubikopi Community
	(Solomon Is), and the Los Negros - Agro Industry
	rsource Centre (PNG). Another student developed a
	Sustainable Resource Management and Community
	Livelihood Strategy for Kolonbagara Island (Solomons).
6 By the end of the course participants will	The list of projects the students worked on during the
better understand, and be able to put into	course demonstrates that they were able to put into
practice, the concepts of natural resource	practice the concepts of natural resource management,
management, conservation and tools for	conservation and tools for developing alternative
developing alternative livelihoods.	livelihoods.

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives

Overall the project was succesful in achieving its projected impacts. The participants' increased skilllevel and confidence, as well as the networks they have established throughout the course, will raise capacity on both an individual as well as as an insitutional level, in their respective work sites.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

This third phase of the PICCC was attended by 15 of the original 17 participants in Phase 1 and who had carried out a project at their work site using management tools used in Phase 1 and submitted a preliminary report on their work. The participant return rate was the highest ever experienced for a PICCC as participants tend to drop out for a variety of reasons (job change, personal or job commitments, lack of interest, inability to complete project). This attests to the commitment of the participants, the good encouragement done by SICCP and the benefits of having a unifying theme such as CEPF which would potentially continue to support participants after the course. An additional positive impact is that the course has restarted discussions within USP regarding the accreditation of the PICCC. In 2002 USP had approved the PICCC as equivalent to one USP postgraduate course. USP is in the process of changing from a course to a credit-hour system. These

are based on "learning hours" and it is believed that the total learning hours involved in the PICCC could possibly be equivalent to two courses (under the old system) and thus be equivalent to a postgraduate certificate. It is suggested that an independent assessment be performed on this to see how completion of the PICCC (and perhaps additional study) could result in achievement of a postgraduate certificate.

# **Project Components and Products/Deliverables**

	Component			Deliverable
#	Description	#	Description	Results for Deliverable
1	1. Identify and	1.1	1.1 Final list of	Participant list of 17 participants from Vanuatu, Solomons
	organise		participants	and PNG was confirmed prior to phase 1
	participants			
1	1. Identify and	1.2	1.2 Final list of	Course facilitators included Hugh Govan, Mark O'Brien,
	organise		tutors/lecturer	Isoa Korovulavula, Alivereti Naikatini and William
	participants		S	Aalbersberg
1	1. Identify and	1.3	1.3 Course	Course timetable was finalised prior to Phase 1.
	organise		timetable/Age	
	participants		nda	
1	1. Identify and	1.4	1.4 Safe arrival	All participants arrived safely.
	organise		of participants	
	participants			
2	2 Finalise course	2.1	2.1 Curriculum	Curriculum and training materials were all prepared ready
	curriculum		ready for Part	for phase 1.
			1 of course	
2	2 Finalise course	2.2	2.2 Field Trip	Field trips were conducted to the Herbarium and Botanic
	curriculum		Identified	Garden in Honiara
2	2 Finalise course	2.3	2.3 Initiate	This was done, and feedback incorporated.
	curriculum		Request for	
			suggestions	
			for Part 2 of	
			course	
2	2 Finalise course	2.4	2.4 Agenda for	Phase 2 course outline was finalised.
	curriculum		Part 2	
			organised	
3	3 Run Course	3.1	3.1 Press	Press coverage was undertaken.
			Release	
			available for	
			each	
			participating	
			country	
3	3 Run Course	3.2	3.2 Introduce	This was done during Phase 2.
			Capacity for	
			Conservation	
			website	
3	3 Run Course	3.3	3.3 Project	The list of project proposals is attached.
			Proposals	
			identified for	
			each	

Describe the results from each product/deliverable:

			participant	
3	3 Run Course	3.4	3.4 Completed	Completed projects were submitted to the course
			Projects	facilitator.
			available	
4	4 Compliance	4.1	4.1 Social	Each participant prepared and submitted a Social
	with CEPF Social		assessment for	Assessment paper
	Safeguard		individual	
	Policies		projects of	
	Monitored and		course	
	Reported to		participants	
	CEPF.		submitted to	
			CEPF for prior	
			approval	
4	4 Compliance	4.2	4.2 Six	This was done.
	with CEPF Social		monthly	
	Safeguard		safeguard	
	Policies		monitoring	
	Monitored and		report	
	Reported to		submitted to	
	CEPF.		CEPF	
5	5 Review Success	5.1	5.1 Results of	This was done.
	of Course		post-course	
			questionnaire	
			reported to	
			CEPF	
6	AMENDMENT	6.1	AMENDMENT	This was unable to be completed due to ongoing
	JUNE 2017		June 2017 -	disagreement within the Uluna-Sutahuri regarding the
	Project follow-up		Signing a	next steps. CEPF has been informed of the issue.
	and grievance		formal	
	resolution		agreement	
			between USP	
			and the Uluna-	
			Sutahuri tribe	
			indicating the	
			resolution of	
			the grievance	

Please describe and submit any tools, products, or methodologies that resulted from this project or contributed to the results.

Attached is the course outline for Phase 1, which can be seen as a methodology for training delivery in this area of expertise.

The course outline also refers to various tools and methodologies that were the focus of the training, eg participatory tools (the PLA toolkit), Pressure-State-Response frameowrks, data colelction and recording, KBA assessments, IBA processes, SWOT analysis, SMART indicators.

#### **Lessons Learned**

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building.

Consider lessons that would inform:

- Project Design Process (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)
- Project Implementation (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)
- Describe any other lessons learned relevant to the conservation community

During the capacity building discussion the outputs of the 2015 BIOPAMA Assessment of Pacific Island Needs for Protected Area Managers were discussed. A key recommendation of that report was that competency-based certification of resource managers was needed in the Pacific. The meaning of competency-based certification was discussed and information obtained about the certification program for MPA managers in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO-COMPAS). At least two of the course participants were interested in pursuing such qualification. It was agreed that in the short-term that the WIO-COMPAS program could be used but that the eastern Pacific countries may want to develop their own program. In general, participants agreed that the PICCC gave them the needed competencies required by the WIO-COMPAS program.

### Sustainability / Replication

Summarize the success or challenges in ensuring the project will be sustained or replicated, including any unplanned activities that are likely to result in increased sustainability or replicability.

The course was mainly facilitated by Professor Bill Aalbersberg, who had helped design the original course in 2000 and had coordinated/facilitated all previous PICCCs. He was able to share his experience and lessons learned and also provide a focus for the lessons learned. Facilitation was also provided by David Boseto of SICCP, who is working with participants on proposals to be submitted to CEPF in the next funding round. These proposals will focus on follow-on capacity-building identified by the course participants and enhancing networking among the CEPF-supported sites.

#### **Safeguards**

If not listed as a separate Project Component and described above, summarize the implementation of any required action related to social, environmental, or pest management safeguards

Participants were requested as part of their projects, to submit a Social Assessment: Indigenous Peoples Report for their project area, as a socal safeguard compontent.

## **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

Use this space to provide any further comments or recommendations in relation to your project or CEPF

## **Additional Funding**

Provide details of any additional funding that supported this project and any funding secured for the project, organization, or the region, as a result of CEPF investment

#### Total additional funding (US\$)

#### Type of funding

*Please provide a breakdown of additional funding (counterpart funding and in-kind) by source, categorizing each contribution into one of the following categories:* 

- A Project Co-Financing (other donors or your organization contribute to the direct costs of this project)
- *B* Grantee and Partner Leveraging (other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF funded project)
- *C Regional/Portfolio Leveraging (other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment* or successes related to this project)

### **Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, <u>www.cepf.net</u>, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

1. Please include your full contact details (Name, Organization, Mailing address, Telephone number, Email address) below

# Sarah Pene, Institute of Applied Science, University of the South Pacific, Suva, Fiji. Ph: +679 32323216, sarah.pene@usp.ac.fj