# **CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT**

Organization Legal Name:	Wildlife Research and Conservation Society
Project Title:	RIT Site Visit to Sahyadri-Konkan Small Grantees
Date of Report:	
<b>Report Author and Contact</b>	Mr. Jayanth Kulkarni
Information	

#### **CEPF Region: Western Ghats, India**

**Strategic Direction:** 

CEPF Strategic Directions 2. Improve the conservation of globally threatened species through systematic conservation planning and action IP 2.4 Support interdisciplinary efforts to analyze and disseminate biodiversity data.

Grant Amount: \$616.16

Project Dates: 1<sup>st</sup> January 2012 to 31st January 2012

# Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

Only grantee and RIT representative

**Conservation Impacts** 

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.

Site visit for Konkan grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal.

Site visit for Konkan grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Please provide the following information where relevant:

Hectares Protected: Nil

Species Conserved: Nil

Corridors Created: Nil

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

Not applicable

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)? Not applicable

#### Lessons Learned

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community. Site visit for Konkan grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

# *Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)*

Site visit for Konkan grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

# *Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)*

Site visit for Konkan grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

#### **ADDITIONAL FUNDING**

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes

\*Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- A Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)
- **C** Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

### Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

None

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved. None

### Safeguard Policy Assessment

*Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.* Not applicable

Performa	ance Trac	king Repo	ort Adden	dum
	C	EPF Global	Targets	
	(En	ter Grar	nt Term	1)
				sults achieved by your grant. evant to your project.
Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from 1 <sup>st</sup> January 2012 to 31st January 2012 (Attach annexes if necessary)
1. Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.	No			Please also include name of the protected area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
2. How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?	No			Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
3. Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
5. If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits? Please complete Table 1below.	No			

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table.

Name of Community	C	Community Characteristics								Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit												
				Se			Communities falling below the poverty rate		Increased Income due to:				able	iter	other og, c.			o ú	la ntal	e. ed		
	Small landowners	Subsistence economy	Indigenous/ ethnic peoples	Pastoralists/nomadic peoples	Recent migrants	Urban communities		Other	Adoption of sustainable natural resources management practices	Ecotourism revenues	Park management activities	Payment for environmental services	Increased food security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, hunting, or agricultural practices	More secure access to water resources	Improved tenure in land or other natural resource due to titling, reduction of colonization, etc.	Reduced risk of natural disasters (fires, landslides, flooding, etc)	More secure sources of energy	Increased access to public services, such as education, health, or credit	Improved use of traditional knowledge for environmental management	More participatory decision- making due to strengthened civil society and governance		
					_															<b></b>	-	
																					_	
																					1	

### **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

NIL

## Information Sharing and CEPF Policy

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

#### Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Mr. Jayanth Kulkarni, Organization name: Wildlife Research and Conservation Society Mailing address: 1A Shriyog Housing Society, 127/3 Sus Road, Pashan,Pune - 411021, Maharashtra Tel: 020 65222903 Fax: -E-mail: kulkarni.jayant@gmail.com