# CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Organization Legal Name:	Windsor Research Centre Ltd
Project Title:	Integrating Northern Cockpit Country Communities into Policy Making for Climate Change Mitigation
Date of Report:	15 September 2014
Report Author and Contact Information	Michael Schwartz Windsor@cwjamaica.com

#### **CEPF Region:**

**Strategic Direction:** 2 2.1 Mainstream biodiversity conservation and ecosystem service values into development policies, projects and plans, with a focus on addressing major threats such as unsustainable tourism development, mining, agriculture and climate change

Grant Amount: \$20,000

Project Dates: 1 March 2013 to 30 June 2014

Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

## **Conservation Impacts**

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile. This project has done the groundwork for integrating northern Cockpit Country communities into Policy Making for Climate Change Mitigation (e.g. prepared a draft survey instrument) and has clarified some of the issues that would need to be resolved.

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal.

No results have been achieved

Please provide the following information where relevant:

Hectares Protected: Species Conserved: Corridors Created:

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

None at present

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

#### **Lessons Learned**

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

# Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Socio-economic surveys are a new activity for us and we are uneasy with the apparent lack of rigour when compared to science and engineering processes that we know well. Because of the scientific importance of the anticipated results, we wanted to be very involved with the process but in hindsight this may have been too conservative.

# Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

As noted elsewhere, loss of a key person in our small organization left us with inadequate capacity to fulfil all our responsibilities. This is an issue because of our remote location: any new staff member would need to pay a daily taxi fare of around US\$30 from the nearest village. This cost plus the travel time involved plus the general lack of qualified persons in this parish plus the short-term, part-time nature of this project makes it difficult to compensate for the loss of a key person.

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

### ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes

<sup>\*</sup>Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- A Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)
- **C** Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

### Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved.

# **Safeguard Policy Assessment**

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

### **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

### **Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

#### Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Michael Schwartz

Organization name: Windsor Research Centre

Mailing address: Sherwood Content, Trelawny, Jamaica

Tel: 997 3832

Fax:

E-mail: Windsor@cwjamaica.com

\*\*\*If your grant has an end date other than JUNE 30, please complete the tables on the following pages\*\*\*

# **Performance Tracking Report Addendum**

# **CEPF Global Targets**

# (Enter Grant Term)

Provide a numerical amount and brief description of the results achieved by your grant.

Please respond to only those questions that are relevant to your project.

Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008. (Attach annexes if necessary)
Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.	No			Please also include name of the protected area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
2. How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?	No			Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
3. Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.	No			
5. If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits? Please complete Table 1below.	No			

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table.

# **Table 1. Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities**

Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the totals of the Xs for each column.

	om	mur	ity	Cha	ract	eristic	s	Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit													
Name of Community				Se		Urban communities	he		Increased Income due to:			Je able	ater	other g,	ع.		on,	ll ntal	n- ed ce.		
	Small landowners	Subsistence economy	Indigenous/ ethnic peoples	Pastoralists/nomadic peoples	Recent migrants		Communities falling below t poverty rate	Communities falling below the poverty rate Other	Adoption of sustainable natural resources management practices	Ecotourism revenues	Park management activities	Payment for environmental services	Increased food security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, hunting, or agricultural practices	More secure access to water resources	Improved tenure in land or other natural resource due to titling, reduction of colonization, etc.	Reduced risk of natural disasters (fires, landslides, flooding, etc)	More secure sources of energy	Increased access to public services, such as education, health, or credit	Improved use of traditional knowledge for environmental management	More participatory decision- making due to strengthened civil society and governance.	Other
							<u> </u>														
		₩																			
		<del> </del>																			
		<u> </u>																			
		$\vdash$																			
		<del>                                     </del>																			
		$\vdash$																			
		+																			
		₩																			
		+																			
		lacksquare																			
Total		<del>                                     </del>																			<b></b>

If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit: