CRITICAL ECOSYSTEM

# **CEPF Final Project Completion Report**

| Organization Legal Name: | BirdLife International                              |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
|                          | Re-Wilding Western Siem Pang: Ecological            |  |
| Project Title:           | Restoration in the Deciduous Dipterocarp Forests of |  |
|                          | Cambodia  |  |
| Grant Number:            | 64047   |  |
| CEPF Region:             | Indo-Burma II                                       |  |
| Strategic Direction:     | 1 Safeguard priority globally threatened species by |  |
| Strategic Direction.     | mitigating major threats                            |  |
| Grant Amount:            | \$250,000.00  |  |
| Project Dates:           | May 01, 2014 - October 31, 2018                     |  |
| Date of Report:          | January 02, 2019                                    |  |
|                          |   |  |

#### **Implementation Partners**

List each partner and explain how they were involved in the project

This project was implemented by BirdLife International Cambodia Programme. There were no other implementation partners. BirdLife works in Western Siem Pang Wildlife Sanctuary in collaboartion with the Stung Treng Department of Environment and within the framework of an MoU with the Ministry of Environment.

#### **Conservation Impacts**

Summarize the overall impact of your project, describing how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile

The open deciduous dipterocarp forests of northern and eastern Cambodia are globally irreplaceable for biodiversity conservation. The substantial declines occurring in herbivore biomass within the eco-region due to hunting of wild ungulates and changing animal husbandry patterns are likely impacting the structure of these forests. These impacts may be particularly pertinent at seasonal waterholes where it is hypothesized that grazing by ungulates is important for maintaining micro-habitat diversity utilized by globally threatened large water birds such as the Critically Endangered Giant ibis *Thaumatibis gigantea* and White-shouldered ibis *Pseudibis davisoni*. To test this, we experimentally grazed eight waterholes in the globally significant Siem Pang Wildlife Sanctuary in northeastern Cambodia with two herds of domestic water buffalo over three dry seasons and compared their micro-habitats with ten control waterholes. During the dry season, the physical characteristics of all waterholes changed predictably and consistently, irrespective of whether they were controls or grazed by our buffalo. We found few consistent differences between control waterholes and those grazed by buffalo, although there was some evidence that grazed waterholes retained water and saturated mud, the latt er a critical habitat for ibis, for longer into the dry season. However, we could not arrive at definitive conclusions about the effect of water buffalo activity on waterhole structure in deciduous dipterocarp forests in Cambodia from our data and it is possible that the densities of buffalo we employed were not sufficient to significantly or detectably influence this. This experiment was unique and had never before been undertaken in Cambodia or in a dry forest ecosystem elsewhere in the Mekong basin. Although the resuts ewre inconclusive they mark an important contribition to the management of waterholes in the dry forest ecosystem and provide a basis for future resaerch.

Impact Description Impact Summary The Deciduous Dipterocarp Forest of Western The long term impact of the project was that deciduous Siem Pang in North Cambodia is restored, dipterocarp forest of Western Siem Pang Wildlife complete with robust and healthy populations of Sanctuary is restored, complete with robust and globally-threatened waterbird and dry forest mammal species healthy populations of globally-threatened waterbird and dry forest mammal species. The time frame for this was given as three plus years but a more realistic timeframe based on our experience would be 25-100 years. The buffalo grazing experiment undertaken by this project can be viewed as a small but important first step in understanding waterhole ecology and management.

Planned Long-term Impacts - 3+ years (as stated in the approved proposal)

#### Planned Short-term Impacts - 1 to 3 years (as stated in the approved proposal)

| Impact Description                        | Impact Summary  |
|---|---|
| Stabilized populations of Giant Ibis and  | Of the short term impacts it is difficult to say with     |
| White-shouldered Ibis at Western Siem     | certainty whether populations of Giant Ibis and White-    |
| Pang Stabilized populations of other      | shouldered Ibis, and Lesser Adjutant, Greater Adjutant    |
| threatened waterbird species e.g. Lesser  | and Black-necked Stork at Western Siem Pang Wildlife      |
| Adjutant, Greater Adjutant and Black-     | Sanctuary have stabilised. For example during the         |
| necked Stork at Western Siem Pang         | lifetime of the project the number of successful Giant    |
| Ecological integrity of a sub-set of      | Ibis nests found has increased year on year. The trend    |
| trapeangs at Western Siem Pang is         | in numbers of fledging young Giant Ibis has also          |
| improved for the benefit of a range of    | increased. Clearly modifying a small number of            |
| globally-threatened waterbird species     | waterholes (eight) could have no bearing on               |
| Trapeang management protocols             | reproductive success since there are in excess of 200 in  |
| produced with relevance to other sites in | the wildlife sanctuary. A more likely explanation is      |
| Cambodia that support ibis populations    | improved observer skill and coverage. Greater Adjutant    |
| Capacity of at least two graduate-level   | is only a seasonal visitor and the numbers reaching the   |
| Cambodian conservationists is improved,   | wildlife sanctuary fluctuated from year to year. Only a   |
| with a focus on ibis conservation and     | single pair of Black-necked Stork is known to nest in the |
| ecological restoration Potential for the  | wildlife sanctuary.                                       |

| dir | rect conservation management of two      |
|-----|--|
| Cri | itically-Endangered ibis species is      |
| de  | monstrated to a wide range of national   |
| an  | d regional level stakeholders, including |
| Ca  | mbodian government partners              |

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives

The long term impact of the project was that deciduous dipterocarp forest of Western Siem Pang Wildlife Sanctuary is restored, complete with robust and healthy populations of globally-threatened waterbird and dry forest mammal species. The time frame for this was given as three plus years but a more realistic timeframe based on our experience would be 25-100 years. The buffalo grazing experiment undertaken by this project can be viewed as a small but important first step in understanding waterhole ecology and management.

Of the short term impacts it is difficult to say with certainty whether populations of Giant Ibis and White-shouldered Ibis, and Lesser Adjutant, Greater Adjutant and Black-necked Stork at Western Siem Pang Wildlife Sanctuary have stabilised. For example during the lifetime of the project the number of successful Giant Ibis nests found has increased year on year. The trend in numbers of fledging young Giant Ibis has also increased. Clearly modifying a small number of waterholes (eight) could have no bearing on reproductive success since there are in excess of 200 in the wildlife sanctuary. A more likely explanation is improved observer skill and coverage. Greater Adjutant is only a seasonal visitor and the numbers reaching the wildlife sanctuary fluctuated annually. Only a single pair of Blacknecked Stork is know to nest in the sanctuary. Choosing species as indicators of success for a project of such short duration was never realistic.

The ecological integrity of a sub-set of waterholes at Western Siem Pang Wildlife Sanctuary was probably improved for the benefit of a range of globally-threatened waterbird species because increased grazing and wallowing pressure is correlated to increased waterbird use but this was difficult to prove during the experiment.

The waterhole management protocol produced again provides a contribution to the literature and a basis for future conservation practitioners.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

The project has helped thinking on the role of domestic water buffalo in waterhole management and informed thinking on ungulate carrying-capacity in the dry forests. A future project should look at domestic water buffalo movements and use of the dry forests.

## **Project Components and Products/Deliverables**

| Component |                    | Deliverable |                  |                         |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| #         | Description        | #           | Description      | Results for Deliverable |
| 1         | The impact of      | 1.1         | At least 16      | Completed.              |
|           | different buffalo  |             | project          |                         |
|           | densities on ibis  |             | trapeangs for    |                         |
|           | foraging           |             | the              |                         |
|           | microhabitats at   |             | experimental     |                         |
|           | trapeangs is       |             | manipulation     |                         |
|           | clarified and the  |             | of domestic      |                         |
|           | ecological         |             | buffalo          |                         |
|           | integrity of a     |             | densities        |                         |
|           | subset of          |             | established in   |                         |
|           | trapeangs in       |             | Western Siem     |                         |
|           | Western Siem       |             | Pang's           |                         |
|           | Pang is improved   |             | Deciduous        |                         |
|           | for the benefit of |             | Dipterocarp      |                         |
|           | two Critically     |             | Forest           |                         |
|           | Endangered Ibis    |             |                  |                         |
|           | species            |             |                  |                         |
| 1         | The impact of      | 1.2         | Cadre of at      | Completed.              |
|           | different buffalo  |             | least 6          |                         |
|           | densities on ibis  |             | biodiversity     |                         |
|           | foraging           |             | monitoring       |                         |
|           | microhabitats at   |             | officers, the    |                         |
|           | trapeangs is       |             | majority hired   |                         |
|           | clarified and the  |             | from local       |                         |
|           | ecological         |             | communities,     |                         |
|           | integrity of a     |             | are              |                         |
|           | subset of          |             | maintained for   |                         |
|           | trapeangs in       |             | the duration     |                         |
|           | Western Siem       |             | of this project, |                         |
|           | Pang is improved   |             | and fully        |                         |
|           | for the benefit of |             | trained in       |                         |
|           | two Critically     |             | waterbird        |                         |
|           | Endangered Ibis    |             | species          |                         |
|           | species            |             | identification,  |                         |
|           |                    |             | monitoring       |                         |
|           |                    |             | techniques,      |                         |
|           |                    |             | and basic data   |                         |
|           |                    |             | collection       |                         |
| 1         | The impact of      | 1.3         | By year 2,       | Impossible to determine |

Describe the results from each product/deliverable:

|   | 1                  |     | 1               | ,                       |
|---|--------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | different buffalo  |     | relative        |                         |
|   | densities on ibis  |     | proportions of  |                         |
|   | foraging           |     | ibis dry-season |                         |
|   | microhabitats at   |     | foraging        |                         |
|   | trapeangs is       |     | microhabitats   |                         |
|   | clarified and the  |     | increases in    |                         |
|   | ecological         |     | 20% of project  |                         |
|   | integrity of a     |     | trapeangs       |                         |
|   | subset of          |     | (based on       |                         |
|   | trapeangs in       |     | 2013            |                         |
|   | Western Siem       |     | baselines)      |                         |
|   | Pang is improved   |     | ,               |                         |
|   | for the benefit of |     |                 |                         |
|   | two Critically     |     |                 |                         |
|   | Endangered Ibis    |     |                 |                         |
|   | species            |     |                 |                         |
| 1 | The impact of      | 1.4 | By year 3, Ibis | Impossible to determine |
| 1 | different buffalo  | 1.7 | use of          |                         |
|   | densities on ibis  |     | trapeangs       |                         |
|   | foraging           |     | increases in    |                         |
|   | microhabitats at   |     | 20% of project  |                         |
|   |                    |     |                 |                         |
|   | trapeangs is       |     | trapeangs       |                         |
|   | clarified and the  |     | during the dry  |                         |
|   | ecological         |     | season,         |                         |
|   | integrity of a     |     | measured by     |                         |
|   | subset of          |     | presence/abse   |                         |
|   | trapeangs in       |     | nce data and    |                         |
|   | Western Siem       |     | compared        |                         |
|   | Pang is improved   |     | against 2013    |                         |
|   | for the benefit of |     | baselines       |                         |
|   | two Critically     |     |                 |                         |
|   | Endangered Ibis    |     |                 |                         |
|   | species            |     |                 |                         |
| 1 | The impact of      | 1.5 | Project         | Completed               |
|   | different buffalo  |     | database and    |                         |
|   | densities on ibis  |     | standardised    |                         |
|   | foraging           |     | data-sheets     |                         |
|   | microhabitats at   |     | produced        |                         |
|   | trapeangs is       |     |                 |                         |
|   | clarified and the  |     |                 |                         |
|   | ecological         |     |                 |                         |
|   | integrity of a     |     |                 |                         |
|   | subset of          |     |                 |                         |
|   | trapeangs in       |     |                 |                         |
|   | Western Siem       |     |                 |                         |
|   | Pang is improved   |     |                 |                         |
|   |                    |     |                 |                         |

|          | for the benefit of       |     |                      |   |
|----------|--------------------------|-----|----------------------|---|
|          | two Critically           |     |                      |   |
|          | Endangered Ibis          |     |                      |   |
|          | species                  |     |                      |   |
| 1        | The impact of            | 1.6 | Compliance           | Completed   |
|          | different buffalo        |     | with CEPF            |   |
|          | densities on ibis        |     | Social               |   |
|          | foraging                 |     | Safeguard            |   |
|          | microhabitats at         |     | Policies             |   |
|          | trapeangs is             |     | monitored and        |   |
|          | clarified and the        |     | reported to          |   |
|          | ecological               |     | CEPF                 |   |
|          | integrity of a           |     | CLFI                 |   |
|          | subset of                |     |                      |   |
|          |                          |     |                      |   |
|          | trapeangs in             |     |                      |   |
|          | Western Siem             |     |                      |   |
|          | Pang is improved         |     |                      |   |
|          | for the benefit of       |     |                      |   |
|          | two Critically           |     |                      |   |
|          | Endangered Ibis          |     |                      |   |
|          | species                  |     |                      |   |
| 1        | The impact of            | 1.7 | Minutes from         | Completed   |
|          | different buffalo        |     | FPIC                 |   |
|          | densities on ibis        |     | consultation         |   |
|          | foraging                 |     | meetings with        |   |
|          | microhabitats at         |     | local                |   |
|          | trapeangs is             |     | communities          |   |
|          | clarified and the        |     | at Western           |   |
|          | ecological               |     | Siem Pang            |   |
|          | integrity of a           |     |                      |   |
|          | subset of                |     |                      |   |
|          | trapeangs in             |     |                      |   |
|          | Western Siem             |     |                      |   |
|          | Pang is improved         |     |                      |   |
|          | for the benefit of       |     |                      |   |
|          | two Critically           |     |                      |   |
|          | Endangered Ibis          |     |                      |   |
|          | species                  |     |                      |   |
| 2        | Conservation             | 2.1 | Peer-reviewed        | Completed and published in the December 2018 issue of |
| <b>∠</b> |                          | 2.1 | scientific           |   |
|          | benefits of using        |     |                      | Cambodia Journal of Natural History.                  |
|          | domestic buffalo         |     | paper on the         |   |
|          | to manage                |     | impacts of           |   |
|          | trancange in             |     | using domostic       |   |
|          | trapeangs in             |     | using domestic       |   |
|          | deciduous<br>dipterocarp |     | buffalo to<br>manage |   |

|   | forest            |     | trapeangs for   |                   |
|---|-------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | highlighted to a  |     | the             |                   |
|   | range of          |     | conservation    |                   |
|   | stakeholders      |     | of threatened   |                   |
|   | (government       |     | waterbird       |                   |
|   | officers, site    |     | species         |                   |
|   | managers and      |     | written and     |                   |
|   | conservation      |     | published in    |                   |
|   | practitioners     |     | an open-        |                   |
|   | who work in the   |     | access journal  |                   |
|   | dry deciduous     |     |                 |                   |
|   | forest of         |     |                 |                   |
|   | Cambodia)         |     |                 |                   |
| 2 | Conservation      | 2.2 | Trapeang        | Completed         |
|   | benefits of using |     | management      |                   |
|   | domestic buffalo  |     | protocols, with |                   |
|   | to manage         |     | a focus on the  |                   |
|   | trapeangs in      |     | role and        |                   |
|   | deciduous         |     | application of  |                   |
|   | dipterocarp       |     | domestic        |                   |
|   | forest            |     | buffalo,        |                   |
|   | highlighted to a  |     | produced and    |                   |
|   | range of          |     | written in both |                   |
|   | stakeholders      |     | English and     |                   |
|   | (government       |     | Khmer           |                   |
|   | officers, site    |     |                 |                   |
|   | managers and      |     |                 |                   |
|   | conservation      |     |                 |                   |
|   | practitioners     |     |                 |                   |
|   | who work in the   |     |                 |                   |
|   | dry deciduous     |     |                 |                   |
|   | forest of         |     |                 |                   |
|   | Cambodia)         |     |                 |                   |
| 2 | Conservation      | 2.3 | Trapeang        | Not yet completed |
|   | benefits of using |     | management      |                   |
|   | domestic buffalo  |     | protocols       |                   |
|   | to manage         |     | disseminated    |                   |
|   | trapeangs in      |     | to government   |                   |
|   | deciduous         |     | officers, site  |                   |
|   | dipterocarp       |     | managers, and   |                   |
|   | forest            |     | local           |                   |
|   | highlighted to a  |     | communities     |                   |
|   | range of          |     | at relevant     |                   |
|   | stakeholders      |     | sites           |                   |
|   | (government       |     |                 |                   |
|   | officers, site    |     |                 |                   |
| 1 |                   | 1   | 1               |                   |

|   | managers and               |     |                 |  |
|---|----------------------------|-----|-----------------|--|
|   | conservation               |     |                 |  |
|   | practitioners              |     |                 |  |
|   | who work in the            |     |                 |  |
|   | dry deciduous              |     |                 |  |
|   | forest of                  |     |                 |  |
|   | Cambodia)                  |     |                 |  |
| 3 | Conservation               | 3.1 | Accurate Giant  | Completed. A journal paper was published in the      |
|   | status of Giant            |     | Ibis population | Cambodian Journal of Natural History                 |
|   | Ibis at Western            |     | estimate for    |  |
|   | Siem Pang                  |     | Western Siem    |  |
|   | clarified                  |     | Pang produced   |  |
| 3 | Conservation               | 3.2 | Robust annual   | Completed and described in the journal paper.        |
|   | status of Giant            | 5.2 | census          |  |
|   | Ibis at Western            |     | methodology     |  |
|   | Siem Pang                  |     | developed       |  |
|   | -                          |     | uevelopeu       |  |
|   | clarified                  | 2.2 | Deen neutrus 1  | Completed Journal again published in the Combinet    |
| 3 | Conservation               | 3.3 | Peer-reviewed   | Completed. Journal paper published in the Cambodian  |
|   | status of Giant            |     | scientific      | Journal of Natural History.                          |
|   | Ibis at Western            |     | paper on the    |  |
|   | Siem Pang                  |     | conservation    |  |
|   | clarified                  |     | status of Giant |  |
|   |                            |     | Ibis at         |  |
|   |                            |     | Western Siem    |  |
|   |                            |     | Pang produced   |  |
| 4 | Capacity for the           | 4.1 | At least one    | Completed. Eang Samnang was a co-author on the       |
|   | conservation               |     | undergraduate   | waterhole management paper.                          |
|   | management of              |     | /masters level  |  |
|   | trapeangs and              |     | Cambodia        |  |
|   | ibis conservation          |     | conservationis  |  |
|   | is improved in             |     | t to co-author  |  |
|   | undergraduate/             |     | scientific      |  |
|   | masters level              |     | paper on        |  |
|   | Cambodian                  |     | trapeang        |  |
|   | conservationists           |     | management      |  |
| 4 | Capacity for the           | 4.2 | At least one    | Completed. Ty Srun was the lead author of the paper. |
|   | conservation               |     | undergraduate   |  |
|   | management of              |     | /masters level  |  |
|   | trapeangs and              |     | Cambodia        |  |
|   | ibis conservation          |     | conservationis  |  |
|   |                            |     |                 |  |
|   | is improved in             |     | t to co-author  |  |
|   | undergraduate/             |     | scientific      |  |
|   | masters level<br>Cambodian |     | paper on Giant  |  |
|   | Liampodian                 |     | Ibis status at  |  |
|   | conservationists           |     | Western Siem    |  |

|   |                   |     | Pang            |  |
|---|-------------------|-----|-----------------|--|
| 4 | Capacity for the  | 4.3 | At least one    | Completed. Eang Samnang received training. |
|   | conservation      |     | undergraduate   |  |
|   | management of     |     | /masters level  |  |
|   | trapeangs and     |     | Cambodia        |  |
|   | ibis conservation |     | conservationis  |  |
|   | is improved in    |     | t to be trained |  |
|   | undergraduate/    |     | in              |  |
|   | masters level     |     | vegetation/ha   |  |
|   | Cambodian         |     | bitat           |  |
|   | conservationists  |     | assessment      |  |
|   |                   |     | and             |  |
|   |                   |     | monitoring      |  |
|   |                   |     | techniques for  |  |
|   |                   |     | trapeangs.      |  |

Please describe and submit any tools, products, or methodologies that resulted from this project or contributed to the results.

This project developed and published a survey methodology for the Giant Ibis, it developed an experimental technique for waterhole grazing and a protocol for waterhole restoration. All three of these documents are included in this report.

## **Lessons Learned**

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building.

Consider lessons that would inform:

- Project Design Process (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)
- Project Implementation (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)
- Describe any other lessons learned relevant to the conservation community

The design of the project could have been improved, for example by preveniting grazing at the controll waterholes. Managing the buffalo herd was a challange as it was diffcult to find local stockmen with a sufficient level of knowledge to care for the buffalo. The livelihoods officer was revealed to have insufficient knowledge of stock management. These two factors probalby contributed to high levles of buffalo mortality in the first year of the project, which was also an El Nino year.

## Sustainability / Replication

Summarize the success or challenges in ensuring the project will be sustained or replicated, including any unplanned activities that are likely to result in increased sustainability or replicability.

The project has now ended and ther eare no plans to continue or repeat the experiment.

## Safeguards

If not listed as a separate Project Component and described above, summarize the implementation of any required action related to social, environmental, or pest management safeguards

Social safeguard reports werel isted as project deliverables and are appended to this report.

## **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

Use this space to provide any further comments or recommendations in relation to your project or CEPF

#### No further comments

## **Additional Funding**

Provide details of any additional funding that supported this project and any funding secured for the project, organization, or the region, as a result of CEPF investment

#### Total additional funding (US\$) \$0.00

#### Type of funding

*Please provide a breakdown of additional funding (counterpart funding and in-kind) by source, categorizing each contribution into one of the following categories:* 

- A Project Co-Financing (other donors or your organization contribute to the direct costs of this project)
- *B* Grantee and Partner Leveraging (other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF funded project)
- *C Regional/Portfolio Leveraging (other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment* or successes related to this project)

No additonal funding was leveraged.

## **Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, <u>www.cepf.net</u>, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

1. Please include your full contact details (Name, Organization, Mailing address, Telephone number, Email address) below

Jonathan C Eames, BirdLife International Cambodia Programme, #32, Street 494, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, Jonathan.Eames@birdlife.org, +855 (0)882833937